

In The Matter Of:

*Public Hearing & Consideration of Proposed Findings Re:
Appeal For The Smith Canal Gate Project*

*Agenda Item 3
March 21, 2019*

*L.J. HART & ASSOCIATES, INC. / BARRON & RICH
1900 Point West Way, Suite 277
Sacramento, CA 95815
916-922-9001
production@ljhart.com*

DELTA STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL

--o0o--

PUBLIC HEARING

CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED FINDINGS

REGARDING THE APPEAL

OF THE

CERTIFICATION OF CONSISTENCY FOR THE SMITH CANAL GATE

Agenda Item 3

--o0o--

THURSDAY, MARCH 21, 2019

UNIVERSITY PLAZA HOTEL

110 W. Fremont Street

Stockton, California

10:00 a.m. - 11:31 a.m

--o0o--

L.J. HART & ASSOCIATES, INC.

BARRON & RICH

Certified Shorthand Reporters

Reported by: LINDA J. HART, CSR License 4357, RMR/CRR

A P P E A R A N C E S
DELTA STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL

Vice Chair Council Member Randy Fiorini
Council Member Ken Weinberg
Council Member Assemblyman Michael Gatto
Council Member Judge Frank C. Damrell, Jr.
Council Member Oscar Villegas

Bethany Pane, Legal Advisor
Jeff Henderson, Deputy Executive Officer
Erin Mullin, Senior Water Resources Engineer
Maggie Christman
Avery Livengood
Jessica Pearson, Executive Director
Lita Brydie, Staff

I N D E X

Presentation by:	Page
Vice Chair Council Member Fiorni	4
Jeff Henderson, Deputy Executive Officer	5
Erin Mullin, Sr. Water Resources Engineer	10
Jeff Henderson	13
Avery Livengood	14
Maggie Christman	17
Jeff Henderson	19
Kathryn L. Oehlschlager, Esq.	23
Patrick M. Soluri, Esq.	26
 PUBLIC COMMENTS BY:	
Mr. Sean Brooks	42
Mr. Ernest Tufft	44
Mr. Dominick Gulli	50
Mr. Robert Kavanaugh	58
Ms. Martina Gulli	59
Ms. Shelly Gulli	62

--oOo--

Agenda Item 3

10:00:22 1 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Good
10:00:23 2 morning. I am Randy Fiorini, the Vice Chair of the
10:00:23 3 Delta Stewardship Council, and I would like to welcome
10:00:27 4 each and every one of you to this morning's meeting.

10:00:31 5 I officially call this meeting to order and
10:00:33 6 begin with establishing a quorum, beginning with the far
10:00:37 7 right.

10:00:37 8 COUNCIL MEMBER WEINBERG: Weinberg, present.

10:00:40 9 COUNCIL MEMBER ASSEMBLYMAN GATTO: Gatto,
10:00:41 10 present.

10:00:41 11 COUNCIL MEMBER JUDGE DAMRELL: Damrell,
10:00:42 12 present.

10:00:43 13 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Fiorini,
10:00:44 14 here.

10:00:45 15 COUNCIL MEMBER VILLEGAS: Villegas, here.

10:00:46 16 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Very good.
10:00:47 17 We have a quorum.

10:00:48 18 The first item on the agenda today is a public
10:00:53 19 hearing and consideration of proposed findings regarding
10:00:56 20 the appeal of the certification of consistency for the
10:01:00 21 Smith Canal Gate Project.

10:01:03 22 Let the record reflect that it is 10:03 on
10:01:09 23 Thursday, March 21st, 2019, and we are here today at the
10:01:14 24 University Plaza Waterfront Hotel at 110 West Fremont
10:01:19 25 Street, in Stockton, California.

Agenda Item 3

10:01:22 1 We are here for a public hearing to receive
10:01:24 2 comments on the determination, which contains findings
10:01:27 3 regarding the appeal of the certification of consistency
10:01:30 4 for the Smith Canal Gate Project.

10:01:33 5 Following this hearing, we anticipate adopting
10:01:37 6 a determination with findings that either deny the
10:01:39 7 appeal or remand the certification of consistency for
10:01:43 8 the Smith Canal Gate Project back to the San Joaquin
10:01:49 9 Area Flood Control Agency for reconsideration.

10:01:50 10 Before we begin, I have a few general
10:01:55 11 announcements.

10:01:55 12 First, please look around now and identify the
10:01:58 13 exits closest to you. Should an alarm sound, we will be
10:02:03 14 required to evacuate this room immediately. In that
10:02:06 15 event, please take your valuables with you.

10:02:08 16 Second, the bathrooms are located out the
10:02:10 17 doors and down the hallway to the left.

10:02:13 18 And last, please silence your cell phones or
10:02:22 19 other devices now. I'll now ask council's staff to
10:02:26 20 provide a staff report for this item. Following the
10:02:27 21 staff report, I will provide additional information
10:02:29 22 regarding how the parties and the public may address
10:02:32 23 Council on this item.

10:02:46 24 MR. JEFF HENDERSON: All right. Thank you,
10:02:49 25 Vice Chair Fiorini.

Agenda Item 3

10:02:51 1 My name is Jeff Henderson. I am the deputy
10:02:55 2 executive officer for planning for the Council and I'll
10:02:57 3 be joined this morning by Erin Mullin, Maggie Christman
10:03:03 4 and Avery Livengood to present the staff presentation on
10:03:04 5 the Smith Canal.

10:03:05 6 May we have the PowerPoint, please? Thank
10:03:23 7 you.

10:03:24 8 By way of presentation this morning, we'll
10:03:30 9 outline the counsel's covered action authority and
10:03:33 10 process and how that originates from the Delta Reform
10:03:36 11 Act.

10:03:37 12 We'll then summarize the staff report that's
10:03:39 13 been presented to the Council and the proposed
10:03:42 14 determination regarding the appeal certification of
10:03:44 15 consistency for the Smith Canal Gate Project.

10:03:48 16 We'll then summarize our staff analysis and
10:03:50 17 recommendations to the Council, and at the conclusion of
10:03:54 18 the presentation staff will recommend that the Council
10:03:57 19 adopt the proposed determination and the proposed
10:04:00 20 determination contains findings that would deny the
10:04:03 21 appeal.

10:04:04 22 With regard to the Council's covered action
10:04:07 23 authority, the statutory authority for the Council to
10:04:12 24 review covered actions originates from the 2009 Delta
10:04:18 25 Reform Act, which is the State of California's policy

Agenda Item 3

10:04:20 1 describing how the state would like to achieve the
10:04:24 2 coequal goals that are established for the Delta;
10:04:28 3 namely, providing water supply reliability, providing
10:04:30 4 for ecosystem restoration and doing both in a manner
10:04:34 5 that enhances the Delta as a place.

10:04:37 6 The Delta Plan is then the document that
10:04:41 7 establishes the Council's regulatory policies to meet
10:04:44 8 the objectives of the Legislature identified were
10:04:49 9 inherent in the coequal goals for the Delta.

10:04:51 10 And the covered action authority is also
10:04:53 11 granted to the Council via the Delta Reform Act and
10:04:57 12 under that authority, state and local agencies are
10:04:59 13 required to demonstrate consistency with the Delta
10:05:02 14 Plan's regulatory policies when they propose to carry
10:05:06 15 out, to approve or to fund covered actions prior to
10:05:12 16 implementation.

10:05:12 17 When an agency identifies that a project
10:05:17 18 they're pursuing is a covered action, the means to
10:05:21 19 identify consistency with the Delta Plan is through
10:05:23 20 filing of a certification of consistency with the
10:05:27 21 Council, and in its certification a proponent would
10:05:30 22 determine first if a project is a covered action and
10:05:33 23 then would submit that certification to the Council.

10:05:36 24 Upon receipt, the Council publicly notices the
10:05:39 25 receipt of that certification and then reviews written

Agenda Item 3

10:05:43 1 certification that's been provided by the project
10:05:46 2 proponent presenting detailed findings concerning the
10:05:51 3 consistency certification.

10:05:53 4 In its certification, a proponent typically
10:05:56 5 will provide background, descriptive information about
10:05:58 6 the project, will offer findings related to each Delta
10:06:03 7 Plan policy that is implicated by the certification,
10:06:06 8 will cite to portions of the record provided as
10:06:09 9 substantial evidence to support the certification, and
10:06:12 10 again, we make all of the certifications of consistency
10:06:16 11 received by the Council available on the Council's
10:06:19 12 website.

10:06:20 13 Following a certification, any person who
10:06:23 14 claims that a covered action would be inconsistent with
10:06:27 15 the Delta Plan has the ability to file an appeal within
10:06:30 16 30 days of certification. That appeal must include
10:06:33 17 specific factual allegations describing the alleged
10:06:39 18 inconsistency and those specific factual allegations are
10:06:43 19 what define how the Council considers the appeal.

10:06:47 20 Certifications and appeals are both again
10:06:49 21 publicly noticed and available and listed on the
10:06:52 22 Council's website.

10:06:53 23 Once an appeal is received, the Council then
10:06:56 24 must conduct a hearing on an appeal within 60 days of
10:07:00 25 filing, and you may recall that the Council conducted a

Agenda Item 3

10:07:03 1 hearing on this matter at its January 2019 meeting.

10:07:08 2 Following the hearing, the Council must make a
10:07:10 3 decision regarding the appeal within 60 days of the
10:07:13 4 hearing; thus, our presence at the March meeting to
10:07:16 5 consider the proposed determination.

10:07:18 6 And again, as indicated in Vice Chair
10:07:24 7 Fiorini's opening comments, the Council essentially has
10:07:26 8 two options under Water Code Section 85225.25; 1) to
10:07:31 9 deny the appeal under which case the project may proceed
10:07:34 10 or; 2) to remand the project back to the proponent for
10:07:38 11 reconsideration.

10:07:40 12 And it should be noted on appeal, failure on
10:07:43 13 one policy, failure to demonstrate consistency on one
10:07:46 14 policy would result in a remand for the full project.

10:07:50 15 Under review, the Council's scope of review is
10:07:55 16 governed by the substantial evidence standard, and thus
10:07:58 17 the Council's scope of review is whether or not the
10:08:02 18 certification filed by the proponent is supported by
10:08:05 19 substantial evidence in the record.

10:08:08 20 And substantial evidence consists essentially
10:08:10 21 of facts, reasonable assumptions based upon facts and
10:08:15 22 expert opinions supported by facts.

10:08:17 23 The Council does not independently review the
10:08:19 24 project to determine if it's consistent with the Delta
10:08:25 25 Plan. There is a fairly precise frame for the Council's

Agenda Item 3

10:08:27 1 analysis and findings, based on the project as it's
10:08:31 2 submitted by the proponent, issues that are raised on
10:08:35 3 the appeal and substantial evidence in the record.

10:08:39 4 Now I am going to turn it over to Erin, who is
10:08:42 5 going to summarize the project description for you.

10:08:49 6 MS. ERIN MULLIN: Thank you, Jeff.

10:08:50 7 I have a little bit of a cold this morning so
10:08:51 8 I hope that I can be legible as I speak.

10:08:54 9 I am going to start with a brief project
10:08:58 10 description. The proposed project consists of a
10:09:01 11 fixed -- of a fixed wall and gate structure at the mouth
10:09:04 12 of Smith Canal and Atherton Cove along the San Joaquin
10:09:08 13 River across from the Deep Water Ship Channel in
10:09:11 14 Stockton, California. Thank you.

10:09:16 15 According to the certification, the project is
10:09:19 16 required for flood protection. The certification can be
10:09:23 17 found on the Council's website.

10:09:26 18 As described in the certification, the purpose
10:09:30 19 of the project is to provide 100 year level of flood
10:09:33 20 protection for an urbanized area of Stockton shown here
10:09:37 21 on the left in gray. SJAFCA is also working towards
10:09:42 22 providing a larger area with 200 year flood protection
10:09:45 23 shown on the right in red. Next slide.

10:09:46 24 This slide is an illustration of the proposed
10:09:52 25 project. You can see Atherton Cove at the top and Smith

Agenda Item 3

10:09:56 1 Canal extends back into the right. The proposed project
10:09:59 2 would consist of a fixed cellular sheet pile wall seen
10:10:04 3 here in green with a 50 foot wide deep structure shown
10:10:07 4 here in blue. Next slide.

10:10:10 5 The Delta Plan has 17 regulatory policies, 14
10:10:16 6 stand alone and G P1 has four parts.

10:10:20 7 In SJAFCFA certification, it found that five of
10:10:24 8 the 17 policies were consistent, and 11 of the policies
10:10:28 9 were not applicable for the project. Next slide.

10:10:30 10 The sole appeal received before the cutoff
10:10:36 11 date was submitted by Atherton Cove Property Owners
10:10:39 12 Association or ACPOA.

10:10:42 13 The appeal contends that SJAFCFA failed to
10:10:45 14 demonstrate substantial evidence within the record to
10:10:48 15 support consistency with aspects of G P1 (b)(2),
10:10:54 16 mitigation measures, G P1 (b)(3), best available
10:10:59 17 science, G P1 (b)(4), adaptive management, and ER P-5,
10:11:05 18 invasive, invasive non-native species.

10:11:09 19 The appeal is also available on the Council's
10:11:12 20 website. Next slide.

10:11:15 21 I am going to go over the timeline, basically
10:11:18 22 kind of outline what has brought us here today.

10:11:21 23 On November 2nd, 2018, the Council received
10:11:25 24 certification of consistency for the Smith Canal Gate
10:11:29 25 Project from SJAFCFA.

Agenda Item 3

10:11:30 1 On December 3rd, 2018, an appeal was filed by
10:11:34 2 ACPOA.

10:11:35 3 On December 13th, 2018, SJAFCA certified the
10:11:40 4 record as full and complete.

10:11:42 5 On January 24th, 2019, the Council held the
10:11:46 6 first of two hearings on this project.

10:11:50 7 On February 21st, 2019, the Council provided
10:11:55 8 notice of today's hearing, the second of two hearings,
10:11:59 9 and released the staff prepared draft determination for
10:12:01 10 public review.

10:12:02 11 On February 28th, 2019, the Council received
10:12:08 12 comments from -- on the staff prepared draft
10:12:12 13 determination from the parties and interested persons.

10:12:14 14 And on March 14th, 2019, the Council released
10:12:19 15 the proposed determination for review and discussion at
10:12:22 16 today's hearing.

10:12:26 17 This slide shows the summary of the staff
10:12:30 18 proposed determination. Please note that they are
10:12:34 19 presented in slightly different order by outcome than
10:12:38 20 they are in your staff report.

10:12:39 21 Staff recommends that the Council find that
10:12:44 22 one policy does not apply, and that for the other three
10:12:47 23 policies, staff recommends denying the appeals.

10:12:50 24 Staff recommends that the appellant failed to
10:12:54 25 demonstrate lack of substantial evidence in the record

Agenda Item 3

10:12:57 1 to support SJAFCA certification.

10:13:00 2 And with that, I am going to hand it over to
10:13:01 3 Jeff and we'll discuss the proposed findings in more
10:13:04 4 detail.

10:13:06 5 MR. JEFF HENDERSON: Thank you, Erin.

10:13:07 6 So for each of the balance of our presentation
10:13:09 7 for each policy we'll describe SJAFCA certification, key
10:13:13 8 appeal issues, the staff analysis, and staff's
10:13:16 9 recommended, conclusion or finding.

10:13:18 10 The first of these policies is policy G P1
10:13:22 11 (b)(4), which is one of the four detailed findings all
10:13:25 12 covered actions must comply with.

10:13:30 13 The issue regarding G P1 (b)(4) is that the
10:13:33 14 appellant contends that because the project would alter
10:13:36 15 water flows, hydrology, invasive species or other
10:13:40 16 factors that would affect aquatic habitat within the
10:13:44 17 Delta, it falls within the definition of a water
10:13:46 18 management project.

10:13:47 19 And why that's potentially important is
10:13:48 20 because both water management projects and ecosystem
10:13:52 21 restoration projects are required to prepare an adaptive
10:13:56 22 management plan in order to comply with G P1 (b)(4).

10:14:00 23 The staff analysis on this issue consisted of
10:14:05 24 an extensive review of the Delta Reform Act and the
10:14:07 25 Delta Plan Regulations, and in looking at those

Agenda Item 3

10:14:10 1 two pieces of information it's clear that flood control
10:14:15 2 projects were identified as a discreet or separate
10:14:19 3 project category in the development of the Delta Reform
10:14:23 4 Act, in the development of the Delta Plan, and thus
10:14:26 5 based on those pieces of information are inherently
10:14:31 6 separate project categories, and policy G P1 (b)(4) does
10:14:36 7 not apply to flood control projects.

10:14:39 8 And so, based on this determination and
10:14:42 9 analysis, staff's recommended conclusion is that the
10:14:45 10 Council need not consider the applicability of G P1
10:14:49 11 (b)(4) to the Smith Canal Gate Project, because the
10:14:52 12 Smith Canal Gate Project is a flood control project
10:14:55 13 rather than a water management project.

10:14:58 14 I'll now turn it over to Avery to summarize
10:15:01 15 our approach to G P1 (b)(2).

10:15:04 16 MS. AVERY LIVENGOOD: Thank you, Jeff.

10:15:07 17 So Policy G P1 (b)(2) requires that covered
10:15:10 18 actions that are subject to CEQA include applicable
10:15:13 19 mitigation measures from the Delta Plan program EIR or
10:15:17 20 substitute equivalent measures.

10:15:21 21 Where the facts of the covered actions show
10:15:22 22 that a project will be designed, constructed and
10:15:26 23 operated such that significant environmental impact
10:15:29 24 would not occur, there is no obligation to mitigate and
10:15:32 25 therefore the corresponding Delta mitigation measures

Agenda Item 3

10:15:35 1 are not applicable.

10:15:36 2 Delta Plan Mitigation Measure 4-1 requires an
10:15:40 3 invasive species management plan for projects that could
10:15:42 4 introduce or facilitate invasive species establishment.

10:15:47 5 SJAFCFA proposes a water hyacinth control
10:15:52 6 program as a part of the Smith Canal Gate Project as a
10:15:54 7 project element.

10:15:55 8 The appellant contends that the water hyacinth
10:15:58 9 control program is not equally or more effective than
10:16:01 10 Delta Plan mitigation measure 4-1.

10:16:04 11 The staff analysis finds that Delta Plan
10:16:07 12 measure, Delta Plan mitigation measure 4-1 does not
10:16:10 13 apply to the water hyacinth impacts of the project,
10:16:13 14 because the Final EIR did not identify any significant
10:16:17 15 water hyacinth impacts.

10:16:19 16 Therefore, we conclude that the appellant
10:16:21 17 failed to show that there is not substantial evidence to
10:16:24 18 support the certification with regard to Delta Plan
10:16:27 19 mitigation measure 4-1.

10:16:30 20 Turning to mitigation measures 4-2 and 4-3,
10:16:34 21 the appellant contends that SJAFCFA fails to avoid or
10:16:38 22 mitigate operational impacts to special status fish
10:16:41 23 species from increased predation that could result from
10:16:44 24 altered flows as a result -- as required by Delta Plan
10:16:48 25 Mitigation Measure 4-2.

Agenda Item 3

10:16:50 1 The appellant also contends that SJAFCFA fails
10:16:53 2 to avoid or mitigate operational impacts to fish habitat
10:16:59 3 as required by Delta Plan Mitigation Measure 4-3. Once
10:17:02 4 again, where the facts of the specific covered action
10:17:05 5 show that the project will be designed, constructed and
10:17:08 6 operated such that significant environmental impacts
10:17:11 7 will not occur.

10:17:13 8 There is no obligation to mitigate and the
10:17:14 9 corresponding Delta Plan measures are not applicable, so
10:17:17 10 the staff analysis finds that Delta Plan Mitigation
10:17:22 11 Measure 4-2 does not apply to the project because the
10:17:25 12 Final EIR does not identify potentially significant
10:17:29 13 operational impacts on special status fish species.

10:17:32 14 And similarly, Delta Plan measure, Delta Plan
10:17:35 15 Mitigation Measure 4-3 does not apply to the project
10:17:39 16 because the EIR does not identify potentially
10:17:42 17 significant operational impacts to fish habitat.

10:17:46 18 We conclude that the appellant failed to show
10:17:48 19 that there is not substantial evidence to support the
10:17:50 20 certification with regard to mitigation measures 4-2 and
10:17:54 21 4-3.

10:17:55 22 And so the proposed determination recommends
10:17:59 23 denying the appeal with regard to sub-policy G P1
10:18:04 24 (b)(2).

10:18:04 25 Maggie Christman will now present on policies

Agenda Item 3

10:18:04 1 G P1 (b)(3) and ER P-5.

10:18:04 2 MS. MAGGIE CHRISTMAN: Thank you, Avery.

10:18:13 3 Policy G P1 (b)(3) requires all covered
10:18:15 4 actions to document the use of best available science as
10:18:18 5 relevant to the purpose and nature of the project.

10:18:21 6 Delta Plan Appendix 1A provides guidance and
10:18:24 7 descriptions for six criteria of best available science.
10:18:29 8 These include relevance, inclusiveness, objectivity,
10:18:32 9 transparency and openness, timeliness and peer review.

10:18:36 10 The appellant here contends that SJAFCFA failed
10:18:41 11 to demonstrate compliance with G P1 (b)(3)'s best
10:18:43 12 available science criteria of relevance and
10:18:47 13 inclusiveness on two issues.

10:18:48 14 In this context, "Relevance" means scientific
10:18:51 15 information should be pertinent to the Delta ecosystem
10:18:54 16 or other components and processes affected by proposed
10:18:54 17 decisions.

10:18:58 18 "Inclusiveness" means scientific information
10:19:00 19 should incorporate a thorough review of relevant
10:19:04 20 information and analyses across disciplines.

10:19:06 21 The appellant contends that SJAFCFA improperly
10:19:09 22 relies on residence time to analyze water quality
10:19:12 23 impacts, and that they failed to analyze cyanobacteria
10:19:12 24 proliferation.

10:19:18 25 The staff analysis finds that the appellant

Agenda Item 3

10:19:21 1 fails to explain how SJAFCA does not meet these
10:19:26 2 criteria.

10:19:26 3 With respect to the issue of residence time
10:19:27 4 and modeling, the appellant does not explain why
10:19:30 5 limitations of hydrodynamic modeling, such as residence
10:19:34 6 time, such as using residence time as a surrogate for
10:19:36 7 water quality parameters and the use of mid-channel
10:19:39 8 locations and the modeling make the analysis
10:19:43 9 inconsistent with the relevance and inclusiveness
10:19:43 10 criteria.

10:19:43 11 For the issue of cyanobacteria proliferation,
10:19:52 12 the appellant does not explain how arguments provided in
10:19:53 13 the appeal demonstrate SJAFCA's failure to meet
10:19:56 14 relevance and inclusiveness criteria.

10:19:58 15 So, in conclusion, the appellant fails to show
10:20:01 16 that there is not substantial evidence to support SJAFCA
10:20:04 17 certification, and the proposed determination recommends
10:20:07 18 denying the appeal related to G P1 (b)(3).

10:20:11 19 For policy ER P-5, the requirement is that
10:20:19 20 potential introductions of or improved habitat
10:20:21 21 conditions for invasive nonnative species be fully
10:20:24 22 considered and avoided or mitigated in a way that
10:20:27 23 appropriately protects the ecosystem.

10:20:29 24 The appellant contends that SJAFCA did not
10:20:31 25 fully consider and avoid or mitigate the potential for

Agenda Item 3

10:20:37 1 new introductions of or improved habitat conditions for
10:20:38 2 water hyacinth and invasive predatory fish species.

10:20:41 3 The staff analysis finds that the appellant
10:20:44 4 fails to demonstrate that SJAFCA does not fully consider
10:20:46 5 and avoid improved habitat conditions for water
10:20:51 6 hyacinth. The project includes a water hyacinth control
10:20:51 7 program with identified performance standards to
10:20:51 8 maintain existing conditions.

10:20:51 9 The appellant also fails to demonstrate that
10:20:51 10 SJAFCA does not fully consider the potential for the
10:21:05 11 project to increase habitat for invasive fish.

10:21:05 12 For example, project design changes and
10:21:05 13 potential effects of altered flows are included in the
10:21:05 14 analysis of predation impacts to special status species,
10:21:05 15 and they are described in the CEQA addendum.

10:21:15 16 In conclusion, the appellant fails to show
10:21:17 17 that there is not substantial evidence to support SJAFCA
10:21:20 18 certification, and the proposed determination recommends
10:21:23 19 denying the appeal related to ER P-5.

10:21:28 20 MR. JEFF HENDERSON: Thank you, Maggie.

10:21:29 21 And so by way of conclusion, the staff, staff
10:21:33 22 analysis in the proposed determination identifies that
10:21:36 23 the appellant fails to show that there is not
10:21:38 24 substantial evidence in the record to support the
10:21:41 25 certification with respect to four policies: G P1

Agenda Item 3

10:21:45 1 (b)(2), detailed findings for mitigation measures, G P1
10:21:49 2 (b)(3), detailed findings related to best available
10:21:52 3 science, and ER P-5, nonnative invasive species.

10:21:57 4 Additionally, staff recommends a determination
10:22:01 5 that policy G P1 (b)(4), detailed findings related to
10:22:05 6 adaptive management, does not apply to the project; and
10:22:09 7 for these four reasons, staff recommends that the
10:22:12 8 Council deny the appeal pursuant to Water Code Section
10:22:21 9 85225.25, as described in the attached or in the
10:22:21 10 proposed determination attached to your staff report.

10:22:25 11 Staff also recommends Council adoption of that
10:22:29 12 determination.

10:22:29 13 That concludes the staff report and we are
10:22:31 14 happy to respond to any questions.

10:22:34 15 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Jeff,
10:22:36 16 Erin, Avery, Maggie, thank you.

10:22:39 17 To those representing SJAFCA, the appellant
10:22:43 18 homeowners group, and members of the public here today
10:22:46 19 to comment on the Smith Canal Gate Project, here are
10:22:51 20 some important instructions.

10:22:53 21 Commenters speaking today will not be sworn
10:22:55 22 in. This entire hearing will be recorded by a certified
10:22:58 23 court reporter. The rough transcript of the hearing and
10:23:02 24 all written materials presented during the hearing will
10:23:05 25 be shared with the list -- the service list and posted

Agenda Item 3

10:23:09 1 on the Council's Smith Canal website in approximately
10:23:13 2 two weeks.

10:23:14 3 If you're interested in purchasing final
10:23:16 4 transcripts from the reporters in the interim, please
10:23:20 5 coordinate with them directly. Our court reporter is
10:23:23 6 seated here to your right. The company name and contact
10:23:27 7 information is L.J. Hart and Associates, Incorporated,
10:23:31 8 at 916-922-9001.

10:23:37 9 We will hear first from SJAFCA, followed by
10:23:41 10 the appellant. Each of the parties will be allowed a
10:23:46 11 total of 20 minutes for oral presentation.

10:23:48 12 Upon completion of all presentations, we will
10:23:50 13 then commence with public comment.

10:23:53 14 Council Members, I would encourage you to hold
10:23:56 15 your questions for the parties speaking until the
10:23:58 16 conclusion of each presentation.

10:24:01 17 At that point, I will announce the opportunity
10:24:04 18 for Council Member questions before proceeding on to the
10:24:07 19 next party.

10:24:09 20 Once party presentations have ended, any
10:24:12 21 members of the public who want to provide public comment
10:24:16 22 will be allowed three minutes for oral presentation.
10:24:19 23 Additional time will be allowed for questions by the
10:24:23 24 Council Members or Council's staff.

10:24:27 25 If you want to provide public comment and are

Agenda Item 3

10:24:29 1 not a speaker on behalf of SJAFCA or the appellant, we
10:24:33 2 ask that you fill out a blue speaker card. You can find
10:24:36 3 that at the staff table to your right. These public
10:24:38 4 comments will be heard in the order we receive the
10:24:41 5 completed blue speaker cards.

10:24:44 6 In order to allow everyone an opportunity to
10:24:46 7 speak, we may shorten the time limit for speakers during
10:24:51 8 the public comment portion of the hearing. And if we do
10:24:53 9 so, I will announce it prior to the beginning of the
10:24:55 10 portion of the hearing.

10:24:56 11 After we hear from everyone who has filled out
10:24:58 12 a blue speaker card, we will close the public comment
10:25:02 13 portion of this hearing.

10:25:04 14 Finally, when you come to speak, we ask that
10:25:07 15 you do a couple of things so that the Council, audience
10:25:10 16 and the court reporter may hear you so that your
10:25:14 17 comments are entered into the record and can be
10:25:16 18 responded to appropriately.

10:25:18 19 First, speak into the microphone; and, second,
10:25:24 20 please begin by stating your name and identifying the
10:25:27 21 organization you represent, if any.

10:25:30 22 We will now begin with the party
10:25:33 23 presentations, beginning with the San Joaquin Area Flood
10:25:39 24 Control Agency. Looks like we have a question.

10:25:43 25 THE PUBLIC: Can the public ask questions of

Agenda Item 3

10:25:45 1 the staff prior to the presentations?

10:25:47 2 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: You'll be
10:25:48 3 provided an opportunity. Fill out a blue speaker card
10:25:51 4 and --

10:25:52 5 THE PUBLIC: Can we ask questions or is that
10:25:53 6 part of our three minutes?

10:25:55 7 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: That will
10:25:55 8 by part of your three minutes.

10:25:57 9 THE PUBLIC: But they'll answer the questions
10:25:59 10 during the three minute portion?

10:26:00 11 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: If they
10:26:01 12 feel like it, yes.

10:26:02 13 THE PUBLIC: Okay. Thanks.

10:26:14 14 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Bethany,
10:26:16 15 I'll keep track of it. Welcome.

10:26:19 16 MS. KATHRYN L. OEHLSCHLAGER: Thank you. Good
10:26:20 17 morning. My name is Katherine Oehlschlager. I am here
10:26:23 18 on behalf of SJAFCA. I will be brief.

10:26:27 19 I wanted to thank staff for what was obviously
10:26:30 20 an incredible amount of work in a very short period of
10:26:32 21 time to put together the draft determination. I don't
10:26:36 22 really think there's anything for me to add at this
10:26:38 23 point, given the detail in that document and my
10:26:40 24 presentation at the prior meeting.

10:26:42 25 But I did want to offer the opportunity for

Agenda Item 3

10:26:44 1 Council to ask me questions. I also have my technical
10:26:47 2 team here if there are questions on the engineering or
10:26:50 3 environmental issues. All right.

10:26:59 4 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: It
10:26:59 5 appears --

10:27:01 6 COUNCIL MEMBER VILLEGAS: I do have a
10:27:03 7 question, if I could, Mr. Chair. Just at the last
10:27:05 8 public hearing that we had, one of the questions that
10:27:07 9 surfaced for me was in terms of just the public being
10:27:11 10 able to understand how the noninvasive species -- what's
10:27:16 11 the reference, monitoring plan that's going to be rolled
10:27:19 12 out or how folks can access it or view or see what it
10:27:22 13 looks like, because that's a concern that I think was
10:27:25 14 expressed.

10:27:26 15 What, how, what's the process for that or what
10:27:29 16 should folks expect who are unfamiliar with a lot of the
10:27:32 17 systems that are in place to manage it, but the
10:27:35 18 layperson who wants to understand it a little bit more.

10:27:38 19 MS. KATHRYN L. OEHLSCHLAGER: Sure. So I
10:27:39 20 think you're referring to the water hyacinth management
10:27:41 21 program --

10:27:42 22 COUNCIL MEMBER VILLEGAS: Correct.

10:27:42 23 MS. KATHRYN L. OEHLSCHLAGER: -- that will be
10:27:43 24 developed. You know, that is part of the operations and
10:27:46 25 maintenance program for the gate, which is under

Agenda Item 3

10:27:48 1 development now.

10:27:49 2 The EIR did include benchmarks that laid out
10:27:52 3 essentially what will be done. There will be visual
10:27:55 4 inspection conducted and that was a publicly circulated
10:27:59 5 document, visual inspection and monitoring, and then
10:28:01 6 mechanical removal on regular intervals.

10:28:04 7 In terms of the operations and maintenance
10:28:06 8 program, that will likely be adopted at a public
10:28:08 9 meeting, but I might present that to our staff, whether
10:28:11 10 that will be something that is noticed on an agenda for
10:28:14 11 SJAFCA.

10:28:15 12 This is Chris Elias, the executive director of
10:28:19 13 SJAFCA, just confirming that that document will be
10:28:22 14 subject to a publicly noticed meeting at SJAFCA's board.

10:28:22 15 COUNCIL MEMBER VILLEGAS: All right. Thank
10:28:26 16 you.

10:28:26 17 VICE-CHAIR MEMBER FIORINI: Thank you.

10:28:29 18 MS. KATHRYN L. OEHSCHLAGER: All right. Well,
10:28:29 19 thank you very much. Hearing nothing else, we'll rest.
10:28:33 20 Thank you.

10:28:33 21 VICE-CHAIR MEMBER FIORINI: Great. Thank you
10:28:34 22 very much.

10:28:35 23 So next up is the appellant, the Atherton Cove
10:28:39 24 Homeowners Association, represented by Mr. Soluri.
10:28:44 25 Good morning.

Agenda Item 3

10:28:46 1 MR. PATRICK M. SOLURI: Good morning all.
10:28:52 2 Patrick Soluri. Ms. Oehlschlager finished a lot quicker
10:28:57 3 than I was expecting, so I need to set up a little bit,
10:28:58 4 if that's okay.

10:28:58 5 So I will actually be much more brief also
10:29:02 6 than the prior presentation, and I am really -- oh,
10:29:06 7 pardon me. I am sorry.

10:29:06 8 I am Patrick Soluri on behalf of the
10:29:08 9 appellant, Atherton Cove Property Owners Association,
10:29:12 10 ACPOA.

10:29:15 11 So I am going to focus pretty much on just on
10:29:19 12 the water hyacinth issue and specifically the Policy G
10:29:25 13 P1 (b)(2) which requires any covered action to be
10:29:29 14 equally or more effective than the Delta Plan's
10:29:33 15 mitigations.

10:29:35 16 And the relevant portion of the mitigation
10:29:40 17 measure, Delta Plan mitigation measures that we're
10:29:42 18 talking about is mitigation measure 4-1, and it's just a
10:29:47 19 portion of that that deals with invasive species, and I
10:29:52 20 am just going to read a few passages from it.

10:29:56 21 It says: "The plan, the invasive species
10:30:01 22 plan, the plan shall be based on best available science
10:30:04 23 and developed in consultation with Department of Fish
10:30:09 24 and Wildlife and local experts."

10:30:10 25 So that right there, that's a substantive

Agenda Item 3

10:30:13 1 requirement for the plan to be developed in consultation
10:30:17 2 with these folks.

10:30:18 3 Now you saw the presentation back in January
10:30:21 4 where it was generally described in the Final EIR as
10:30:25 5 having this 20 percent cover trigger due to visual,
10:30:31 6 visual inspections, but nowhere in the record is there
10:30:35 7 any attempt to suggest that any of this plan which,
10:30:42 8 again, hasn't actually been developed yet, and SJAFCA
10:30:45 9 concedes that at this point and even the staff findings
10:30:49 10 suggest that, nowhere is there any indication that any
10:30:51 11 of these components were actually based upon
10:30:55 12 consultation with experts. Right?

10:30:58 13 And then let's just go on. It says, "The
10:31:01 14 invasive species management plan will include the
10:31:04 15 following methods: Nonnative species eradication
10:31:08 16 methods, if eradication is feasible."

10:31:10 17 No discussion whether eradication is feasible
10:31:14 18 within Atherton Cove.

10:31:15 19 "Nonnative species management methods,
10:31:19 20 including early detection methods." What are the early
10:31:22 21 detection methods here? And how is that done in
10:31:24 22 consultation?

10:31:26 23 There's -- again, there's nothing in the
10:31:28 24 record that indicates any of these bullet points from
10:31:34 25 mitigation measure 4-1 were actually satisfied by the

Agenda Item 3

10:31:42 1 general discussion in the Final EIR.

10:31:45 2 So as a result of the fact that there's really
10:31:47 3 no facts supporting compliance with mitigation measure
10:31:51 4 4.1, the draft findings essentially, essentially come up
10:31:57 5 with two purely legal arguments: 1) That the entire
10:32:05 6 policy itself, G P1 (b)(2), isn't even applicable to
10:32:12 7 water hyacinth, because this yet-to-be-developed plan
10:32:17 8 isn't actually a mitigation measure, it is a design
10:32:21 9 feature of the project.

10:32:22 10 And then, secondly, there's a suggestion that,
10:32:26 11 well, there's actually nothing requiring the development
10:32:29 12 of the plan before this consistency determination.

10:32:32 13 So, again, both of those are just a purely
10:32:35 14 legal attempt to sidestep the factual requirements of,
10:32:42 15 of the policy requiring compliance with the Delta Plan,
10:32:46 16 and I'm going to take each one in fairly close detail.

10:32:57 17 So with respect to this issue of whether the
10:33:03 18 invasive species, the water hyacinth plan is mitigation
10:33:07 19 or element of the project, the CEQA law, and again we're
10:33:15 20 talking about CEQA here. I looked, even again last
10:33:18 21 night, I looked to see if mitigation measures were
10:33:21 22 defined in either the Delta Plan, the Delta Plan
10:33:24 23 Regulations or the Delta Reform Act, and it's not. So,
10:33:27 24 unfortunately, while, you know, because we talk in terms
10:33:30 25 of mitigation, we need to actually look at CEQA law for

Agenda Item 3

10:33:33 1 what's mitigation.

10:33:35 2 And there's a case directly on point and it's
10:33:38 3 Lotus versus Department of Transportation, which we've
10:33:41 4 cited repeatedly. And it says, "Invasive plant removal
10:33:47 5 is 'plainly a mitigation measure and not a part of the
10:33:51 6 project itself.'"

10:33:52 7 So, again, we have to look at CEQA law on this
10:33:56 8 issue of project component versus mitigation. The
10:34:00 9 published case law is unequivocal. Nobody suggests
10:34:04 10 Lotus is wrongly decided. There's no other cases that
10:34:07 11 directly address this issue. Lotus is not addressed in
10:34:10 12 the findings.

10:34:11 13 Now, in the most recent version of the
10:34:13 14 findings, which were released a week ago -- and again
10:34:16 15 I'll just take a step back.

10:34:18 16 We provided a comment letter on February 28th
10:34:21 17 in response to the draft preliminary findings and then
10:34:25 18 in response to our February 28th letter a week ago, a
10:34:31 19 subsequent redline set of findings.

10:34:34 20 And in redlining, the findings state the
10:34:38 21 following -- and, again, I am going to quote --
10:34:40 22 "Mitigation also is not required if measures are
10:34:45 23 included in the project to reduce significant impacts."

10:34:51 24 And then it cites CEQA guidelines 15126.4.
10:34:55 25 That is just absolutely an incorrect statement of the

Agenda Item 3

10:34:59 1 law. And so I have actually got my little handy-dandy
10:35:02 2 CEQA book here, and the reference -- so again, the staff
10:35:06 3 findings say, "Mitigation is also not required if
10:35:08 4 measures -- is not required if measures are included in
10:35:12 5 the project to reduce significant impacts."

10:35:14 6 What the reference section actually says is,
10:35:17 7 again, in a section entitled, "Mitigation measures," it
10:35:21 8 says, "The discussion of mitigation measures shall
10:35:26 9 distinguish between the measures which are proposed by
10:35:29 10 project proponents to be included in the project and
10:35:33 11 other measures proposed by the lead responsible or
10:35:37 12 trustee agency or other persons which are not included
10:35:40 13 in the -- but the lead agency determines could be
10:35:47 14 reasonably expected to reduce adverse impacts. This
10:35:47 15 discussion shall identify mitigation measures for each
10:35:50 16 significant environmental impact."

10:35:51 17 So it simply does not support staff's
10:35:56 18 characterization. What it does support is they're all
10:35:59 19 mitigation measures. Whether they are mitigation
10:36:02 20 measures proposed by the project applicant, here SJAFCA,
10:36:06 21 or whether they're mitigation measures proposed by a
10:36:09 22 lead agency, a responsible agency or trustee agency,
10:36:12 23 whatever.

10:36:12 24 They're all mitigation measures and they all
10:36:15 25 require discussion. And if there was any question about

Agenda Item 3

10:36:17 1 this interpretation, subdivision A-2 of that same CEQA
10:36:23 2 guideline states, "Mitigation measures can be
10:36:28 3 incorporated into the plan, policy, regulation or
10:36:32 4 project design."

10:36:35 5 So to somehow suggest that this invasive
10:36:44 6 species plan is not mitigation because it's a part of
10:36:48 7 the project is just contrary to CEQA law, which is what
10:36:53 8 we have to look at here. But it also has an incredibly,
10:37:00 9 I think, harmful effect on this body's authority to
10:37:06 10 review projects, because if this is the -- I think the
10:37:12 11 very, or the second hearing, and there's going to be
10:37:16 12 further projects, there's going to be further
10:37:19 13 consistency determinations.

10:37:20 14 If this Council decides that G P1 (b)(2) is
10:37:29 15 completely inapplicable just because the agency
10:37:34 16 characterizes their actions as a design feature of the
10:37:38 17 project and not mitigation measure, that is going to
10:37:41 18 create an exception that swallows the whole, and this
10:37:46 19 body will not -- there may be projects coming before
10:37:50 20 this body that are more sympathetic than maybe a flood
10:37:54 21 control project, but because the mitigation measures
10:37:57 22 have been incorporated into the project design, this
10:38:01 23 decision sets a precedent that, hey, we cannot enforce
10:38:09 24 the Delta Plan mitigation measures which are actually
10:38:12 25 pretty good. They're pretty strong, they're pretty

Agenda Item 3

10:38:15 1 effective, but they are totally obviated all because the
10:38:19 2 agency simply is recharacterizing mitigation as a design
10:38:23 3 feature of the project, and that's just a very troubling
10:38:27 4 policy perspective.

10:38:29 5 Now the findings also go on to say, and this
10:38:40 6 is page 11 of the, again, redline findings, talking
10:38:44 7 about the water hyacinth control plan and again the fact
10:38:48 8 that there's no facts to support consistency with
10:38:50 9 mitigation 4-1.

10:38:53 10 Again, I am just going to read this, this is a
10:38:55 11 new section, again redlined a week ago. "But the
10:38:59 12 appellant has not identified any provision of G P1
10:39:02 13 (b)(2) or the associated Delta Plan measures that
10:39:06 14 prohibit the formulation of the water hyacinth control
10:39:11 15 program at a later date."

10:39:14 16 "Delta Plan measures 4-1 require the
10:39:16 17 development and implementation of an invasive species
10:39:19 18 management plan before construction or operation of the
10:39:23 19 project but does not otherwise specify a timeframe for
10:39:28 20 development of the plan."

10:39:31 21 So not only is that legally incorrect, but
10:39:34 22 it's logically, it's just, it's just not logical. The
10:39:39 23 requirement to have the plan prior to the consistency
10:39:44 24 determination is built into the requirement for
10:39:48 25 substantial evidence review. If there's no plan,

Agenda Item 3

10:39:53 1 there's nothing in the record for this body to determine
10:39:57 2 that it's consistent with mitigation measure 4-1. It's
10:40:01 3 like asking a teacher to give me an "A" on my essay but
10:40:05 4 saying, well, teacher, you know, nothing said that I was
10:40:07 5 supposed to actually submit the essay before you give me
10:40:11 6 the A. It's built into the framework. If there is no
10:40:14 7 plan, and again this is -- this is an alternative
10:40:18 8 argument. This is a new alternative argument which
10:40:21 9 assumes that G P1 (b)(2) applies. It says, well, that
10:40:26 10 can be deferred after, after the consistency
10:40:29 11 determination is made.

10:40:30 12 How is it possible for this body to determine
10:40:35 13 that the invasive species management plan satisfies all
10:40:39 14 of the substantive factual requirements in mitigation
10:40:42 15 measure 4-1 if it doesn't have the plan before it?

10:40:47 16 But, again, so legally, I mean, just legally
10:40:50 17 and logically it doesn't make sense. But, again, it
10:40:53 18 also sets up another huge problem for this body's
10:40:58 19 authority looking forward.

10:41:01 20 Future project applicants will simply say,
10:41:03 21 hey, you know what, that's deferred into the future,
10:41:05 22 we'll take care of it, don't worry, and there's now
10:41:08 23 precedent from this body which now indicates that's
10:41:11 24 okay. So both of these completely legal, kind of
10:41:22 25 concocted legal arguments to avoid the factual argument

Agenda Item 3

10:41:27 1 of whether the nonexistent invasive species program
10:41:31 2 complies with mitigation measure 4-1, these completely
10:41:36 3 legal arguments are setting up this Council for real
10:41:41 4 problems going forward.

10:41:42 5 And there's a way to avoid it. Right? I
10:41:46 6 mean, you know, there's been extensive litigation over,
10:41:49 7 over the Delta Plan, that my firm has been involved in,
10:41:53 8 and one of the consistent themes is that the Delta Plan
10:41:56 9 is not, it's not strong enough to actually protect the
10:41:58 10 Delta.

10:41:59 11 Well, one of the, one of the real policies
10:42:02 12 that are actually one of the stronger policies and
10:42:04 13 really effective is the requirement to be equally or
10:42:08 14 more effective than the Delta Plan's mitigation
10:42:13 15 measures, and by adopting these draft findings this body
10:42:20 16 is, it's simply eliminating that as a viable regulatory
10:42:27 17 oversight tool for this body.

10:42:30 18 So it's taking away another one of the arrows
10:42:33 19 in your quiver to actually protect the Delta, you know,
10:42:38 20 and so what are we talking about here? We're talking
10:42:40 21 about an invasive species management plan. It can be
10:42:44 22 done. Right?

10:42:45 23 If this body determines, as I would ask it to
10:42:49 24 do, that these legal arguments should not be made that
10:42:54 25 this body should actually consider whether the

Agenda Item 3

10:43:00 1 nonexistent, presently nonexistent plan satisfies
10:43:04 2 mitigation measure 4-1.

10:43:06 3 It should conclude the plan doesn't yet exist.
10:43:10 4 We need the plan to exist before we can make it to
10:43:13 5 consistency determination, so remand it back to SJAFCA
10:43:18 6 to prepare the plan. I have no doubt that
10:43:21 7 Ms. Oehlschlager would move heaven and earth to get that
10:43:26 8 plan prepared in a month or two months, that SJAFCA
10:43:30 9 could turn around, send it right back to this body for a
10:43:33 10 consistency determination.

10:43:36 11 We are not talking about setting aside this
10:43:38 12 flood control project. We're simply talking about
10:43:41 13 making sure that the project actually mitigates its
10:43:44 14 impacts and provides the information to this body
10:43:48 15 necessary for it to feel comfortable that it actually
10:43:51 16 complies with the Delta Plan. That can be done very
10:43:57 17 timely.

10:43:57 18 It doesn't require setting the project aside
10:44:01 19 in its entirety and it's a very modest request, and that
10:44:07 20 is, that is my ask of you.

10:44:09 21 With that, I'm happy to be quiet and listen to
10:44:12 22 any questions that you may have.

10:44:15 23 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Thank you.
10:44:16 24 Questions?

10:44:21 25 COUNCIL MEMBER WEINBERG: Thank you, Vice

Agenda Item 3

10:44:23 1 Chair Fiorini. Mr. Soluri (Indicating his tie)? Pretty
10:44:31 2 close? Yeah.

10:44:32 3 You know, your comment that the legal argument
10:44:35 4 should not be made, you know, that's hard for us to
10:44:40 5 agree with, because we are talking about compliance with
10:44:43 6 a regulation, which the basis is legal. I am not a
10:44:45 7 lawyer. I don't even pretend to play one on TV.
10:44:49 8 There's my more learned colleagues here.

10:44:52 9 But I have spent most of my career not as a
10:44:55 10 CEQA expert but being involved in CEQA, and as a
10:44:59 11 practitioners. You know, CEQA requires mitigation
10:45:03 12 measures to mitigate a significant impact below a
10:45:08 13 threshold of significance.

10:45:09 14 So we talked about this at the January hearing
10:45:13 15 and that, you know, kind of gets to what's the baseline
10:45:18 16 for, for impacts and are they worsening the hyacinth
10:45:25 17 problem beyond what is the baseline for CEQA, and I
10:45:29 18 think the answer that we got back then was no, they're
10:45:33 19 not.

10:45:34 20 But as a feature of the project, they're
10:45:38 21 including this, you know, hyacinth removal plan, which
10:45:42 22 we completely understand has not been prepared yet, but
10:45:48 23 requiring it. I think what my question is: Why would
10:45:52 24 you expect a mitigation measure to be required if the
10:45:55 25 project is not resulting in an impact below -- above

Agenda Item 3

10:46:01 1 whatever the level, the threshold of significance is?

10:46:04 2 MR. SOLURI: Sure. That's a good question.

10:46:06 3 So the answer is the EIR in several places actually
10:46:11 4 acknowledges that the presence of the wall with this 50
10:46:18 5 foot wide gate will actually exacerbate, and that's the
10:46:22 6 language of the Final EIR or Draft EIR, Final EIR. It
10:46:25 7 will exacerbate the presence of water hyacinth within
10:46:29 8 Atherton Cove, specifically, because presently the water
10:46:33 9 hyacinth floats in and out with the tide, but what will
10:46:37 10 happen with the 50 foot gate is the water, the water
10:46:41 11 hyacinth will float in and then it will expand and it
10:46:44 12 will become too big and it will not be able to float
10:46:46 13 out, and as a result it will be trapped inside there.

10:46:52 14 And water hyacinth grows very, very quickly
10:46:54 15 and so it will be trapped back there, and so while under
10:46:57 16 baseline conditions it would be able to float back out
10:47:00 17 because the mouth is 600 feet wide, it will be trapped
10:47:03 18 in there.

10:47:04 19 So to answer your question, the EIR itself
10:47:08 20 acknowledges as a factual matter that this project will
10:47:11 21 exacerbate water hyacinth within Atherton Cove, and the
10:47:17 22 only reason that it says there will be no impact is
10:47:19 23 because of this idea that it's going to mitigate that
10:47:22 24 impact by developing this program but simply not calling
10:47:28 25 it mitigation and calling it a design feature of the

Agenda Item 3

10:47:31 1 project.

10:47:31 2 That is exactly what the Lotus case deals
10:47:35 3 with, is if it's mitigation you should call it
10:47:38 4 mitigation. You should include it in your MMRP and it
10:47:42 5 should be enforceable and not have the situation here
10:47:44 6 where three years later your mitigation is
10:47:49 7 mischaracterized as a design feature of the project and
10:47:53 8 it still hasn't been done.

10:47:55 9 COUNCIL MEMBER WEINBERG: So maybe we can ask
10:47:57 10 staff to sort of, because this seems to be sort of the
10:48:01 11 key point of the appellant with everything else sort of,
10:48:05 12 you know, we've kind of dealt with, you've chosen to
10:48:10 13 focus on this.

10:48:11 14 So are we talking about equivalents here that
10:48:14 15 the project design feature, because I thought I asked
10:48:18 16 the question of SJAFCA whether, you know, we had some
10:48:22 17 sense of where, you know, what baseline was and that
10:48:26 18 they weren't impacting the hyacinth growth beyond the
10:48:30 19 baseline.

10:48:31 20 So is that because of the inclusion of the
10:48:35 21 project that the feature, the plan as a feature of the
10:48:39 22 project, or is there no significant -- you know, what
10:48:44 23 was the threshold of significance and, you know, we're
10:48:49 24 not there and --

10:48:52 25 MS. PANE: I think that might be a more

Agenda Item 3

10:48:54 1 appropriate question for SJAFCA to answer, but I want to
10:48:58 2 just caution, this is moving into CEQA territory and
10:49:01 3 we're focusing here on the Delta Plan, so these are CEQA
10:49:04 4 related arguments that would be litigated.

10:49:07 5 COUNCIL MEMBER WEINBERG: (Nodding head.)

10:49:08 6 MS. PANE: And then we take, the Council takes
10:49:11 7 before it the record presented to it and the record
10:49:15 8 presented to it is a Final EIR that contains the water
10:49:20 9 hyacinth program as part of the project.

10:49:21 10 So it, the Delta Plan doesn't second guess
10:49:24 11 whether mitigation should exist or not, that's a CEQA
10:49:28 12 argument for the courts.

10:49:30 13 The issue before the Council is, is the record
10:49:32 14 consistent with the Delta Plan? And because there was
10:49:35 15 not a mitigation measure here, staff's recommendation is
10:49:39 16 in this case G P1 (b)(2) doesn't apply; and the Lotus
10:49:43 17 case I'm reviewing that right now, but the Lotus case,
10:49:46 18 it seems to me that Caltrans didn't analyze the impact
10:49:49 19 at all, and I don't think that's the argument being made
10:49:53 20 here.

10:49:53 21 The issue is whether it should have been a
10:49:56 22 mitigation measure or a project element, and the project
10:50:00 23 proponent wrote its EIR with it as a project document
10:50:04 24 element, so we have to accept that as presented to the
10:50:08 25 Council, but the baseline and thresholds of

Agenda Item 3

10:50:12 1 significance, I think are more appropriate for SJAFCA to
10:50:14 2 answer than staff.

10:50:15 3 COUNCIL MEMBER WEINBERG: And the CEQA issues
10:50:16 4 have been litigated and, you know, went through the
10:50:19 5 process and adjudicated?

10:50:22 6 MS. PANE: I believe, Erin can correct me if I
10:50:26 7 am wrong, we have some of the record before us, before
10:50:28 8 the Council that some litigation proceeded and then
10:50:30 9 staff's recommendation is to take official notice of an
10:50:33 10 Appellate Court decision that came down after the
10:50:35 11 certification of consistency, because that would be a
10:50:39 12 judicially noticeable fact, but the staff analysis does
10:50:42 13 not rely on any of the conclusions reached in
10:50:46 14 litigation.

10:50:46 15 COUNCIL MEMBER WEINBERG: Okay. Thank you.

10:50:51 16 MS. PANE: Do you need Ms. Oehlschlager to
10:50:55 17 come up to answer your CEQA questions?

10:50:57 18 COUNCIL MEMBER WEINBERG: I think we should
10:50:58 19 hear their thinking on this.

10:51:09 20 MS. KATHRYN L. OEHLSCHLAGER: Thank you. Yes.
10:51:10 21 So just to be clear, I think I understand the question,
10:51:14 22 which is how the project treated the water hyacinth
10:51:17 23 control program.

10:51:18 24 And it's true, it was considered an element of
10:51:21 25 the project because as part of normal operations and

Agenda Item 3

10:51:23 1 maintenance for this type of facility, removal of debris
10:51:27 2 that's collected behind the gate would need to be
10:51:29 3 conducted, and Mr. Soluri accurately characterized what
10:51:34 4 the EIR concluded, which was there was the possibility
10:51:37 5 that some material could become trapped behind the gate,
10:51:40 6 including hyacinth, but that with normal removal
10:51:42 7 operations there would be no significant impact.

10:51:45 8 And to address Ms. Pane's comment, these are
10:51:49 9 CEQA issues. Whether something is properly
10:51:51 10 characterized as project component or mitigation
10:51:54 11 measure, the Lotus case is a key case on this. There
10:51:57 12 was also a case over the Warriors stadium.

10:52:00 13 We litigated those issues in the courts and we
10:52:02 14 received a Court of Appeal opinion coming from the Third
10:52:05 15 District in favor of SJAFCA on that issue. So not only
10:52:08 16 is it a CEQA issue and not Delta Plan issue, but it is a
10:52:12 17 CEQA issue that has been finally resolved by the courts.

10:52:15 18 COUNCIL MEMBER WEINBERG: Thank you.

10:52:19 19 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Any other
10:52:20 20 questions?

10:52:22 21 Then, Mr. Soluri, thank you. We will now
10:52:26 22 proceed to public comment.

10:52:28 23 THE PUBLIC: Question, question out here.

10:52:29 24 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: We are
10:52:31 25 going to -- just hold your horses. If you filled out a

Agenda Item 3

10:52:34 1 blue card, we are going to proceed to public comment
10:52:36 2 now, and each person requesting the opportunity to
10:52:40 3 address the Council will be provided three minutes.
10:52:45 4 We'll take them in the order that they're submitted.
10:52:53 5 And, again, I'll ask you to provide us your name and
10:52:55 6 affiliation.

10:53:00 7 First up, Sean Brooks. And just for your
10:53:12 8 help, the timer is over there, just to help you keep
10:53:15 9 track.

10:53:16 10 MR. SEAN BROOKS: Okay. And do you want to
10:53:17 11 post the .pdf?

10:53:20 12 MS. LITA BRYDIE: Yes. Give him a second.

10:53:32 13 MR. SEAN BROOKS: Hello. My name is Sean
10:53:33 14 Brooks. I live at 2001 Carlin. I am a resident off of
10:53:36 15 the Smith Canal.

10:53:38 16 My house is located upon the levees, one of
10:53:42 17 the -- on the Smith Canal itself.

10:53:47 18 I had approached the SJAFCA meeting back in
10:53:51 19 2017 discussing what I believed would be impacts on the
10:53:56 20 water levels by putting the gate, how it's going to
10:53:59 21 raise the water levels in the levee.

10:54:01 22 I prepared an exhibit that shows, we are
10:54:06 23 assuming that 100 year flood level is 9.4 -- just want
10:54:11 24 to go over this real quick.

10:54:12 25 Assuming the gate shuts down at elevation

Agenda Item 3

10:54:14 1 five, the difference is about 4.4 feet.

10:54:17 2 By restricting this gate and not allowing the
10:54:20 3 waters in during the flood scenario and by closing the
10:54:24 4 gates, that additional storage of Smith Canal water
10:54:27 5 would be impacted onto, onto the main canal and within
10:54:31 6 the Delta pole system.

10:54:35 7 Running the calculations, we -- I assumed that
10:54:37 8 approximately by taking 86 acres of the Smith Canal and
10:54:42 9 multiplying by 4.4 feet is 378 acre feet of water that
10:54:47 10 will be stored into, into the rivers which could have an
10:54:51 11 impact and raise the river levels 3.14 feet.

10:54:55 12 At that time, analogy was stated by Roger
10:55:01 13 Churchwell, that it's a drop of water in the overall
10:55:04 14 system, that it doesn't have enough to have a study
10:55:07 15 performed.

10:55:08 16 With my experience, I am an engineer,
10:55:12 17 graduated from the University of the Pacific, that
10:55:16 18 didn't sound right for me. Any impacts needed to be
10:55:19 19 fully studied and analyzed in order to meet that, no
10:55:24 20 matter what kind of encroachments they are, down to a
10:55:27 21 pile in the river needs to be analyzed on that system on
10:55:31 22 how that pile is going to impact the flows.

10:55:33 23 At that time, the SJAFCA came back, Roger said
10:55:36 24 no study would need to be performed because it's such a
10:55:40 25 minor amount overall in the Delta pole.

Agenda Item 3

10:55:43 1 Now, I went ahead and attended the seminar
10:55:46 2 group on November 17, 2017, and I asked Richard Simmons,
10:55:51 3 which is the theme of flood mapping, works for FEMA
10:55:56 4 flood mapping, pretty much the point in question: If we
10:55:59 5 put in this gate or use the gate as an example, would a
10:56:02 6 full study have to be performed by the impact on what it
10:56:05 7 has on the floodplain? And he clearly stated in that
10:56:09 8 seminar, yes, yes, that would be correct, that an impact
10:56:13 9 would have to be studied on that.

10:56:15 10 And I have not seen any study. I have read a
10:56:19 11 comment, but it's not a study, I have not seen a study
10:56:24 12 that has analyzed this scenario. There was comment that
10:56:27 13 was made that it's only going to raise it by analyzing
10:56:30 14 the whole Delta short of the Suisun Bay, but to me
10:56:34 15 that's not correct. You can't analyze the whole system
10:56:38 16 as a whole.

10:56:39 17 You have to take the parts that's going to
10:56:41 18 reflect into. There's got to be an area that's within
10:56:44 19 it that's it's not going to affect all the way down to
10:56:44 20 San Francisco obviously with the tides. THat's not how
10:56:45 21 our Delta system works. It's not a flat body of water.
10:56:55 22 It's not a lake. It's a moving system.

10:56:56 23 So as the tides come in, we're going to get
10:57:00 24 different levels of waters at different places in the
10:57:01 25 Delta. So it's going to -- by closing this off is it's

Agenda Item 3

10:57:04 1 going to be affecting the water on this end of the levee
10:57:07 2 -- of this system, the overall Delta system.

10:57:11 3 So I have a concern with that, that that has
10:57:13 4 not been met, my understanding that's a requirement that
10:57:19 5 a study needs to be performed on that.

10:57:22 6 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Thank you
10:57:23 7 for your comments.

10:57:28 8 MR. SEAN BROOKS: Any questions?

10:57:29 9 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Next up,
10:57:32 10 Ernest Tufft, resident of Smith Canal.

10:57:42 11 MR. ERNEST TUFFT: Thank you for having the
10:57:43 12 meeting here today.

10:57:44 13 I was surprised. Actually, I didn't know. I
10:57:47 14 was assuming it was going to be decided in Sacramento
10:57:49 15 last month what was going to happen here in Stockton, so
10:57:54 16 thank you for postponing the decision until you had a
10:57:58 17 Stockton meeting.

10:57:59 18 The city is largely unfamiliar with the
10:58:02 19 Country Club neighborhood issue, most residents, among
10:58:06 20 many local politicians, and even Mayor Tubbs haven't
10:58:10 21 really followed, as far as I can tell, the technical
10:58:12 22 details of the gate project and tend to defer to SJAFCA
10:58:17 23 based on the fears of FEMA.

10:58:19 24 FEMA is constantly used in SJAFCA's sales
10:58:23 25 pitch for this gate during the time that they put up the

Agenda Item 3

10:58:26 1 vote, a vote which was dominated in terms of the
10:58:33 2 electorate on the west side of the I-5 where the FEMA
10:58:39 3 insurance issues are much more dire.

10:58:41 4 Those of us who are on the east side who have
10:58:46 5 a -- most of the Smith Canal had very little power to do
10:58:50 6 anything. We had no vote. We voted, but our votes
10:58:54 7 weren't worth anything because of the way the floodplain
10:58:57 8 was.

10:58:58 9 So on our side, first, it's a good idea to
10:59:03 10 take a boat ride along the historic 2.5 mile long clam
10:59:09 11 shell dug navigational channel. It's one of the oldest
10:59:12 12 in the state.

10:59:13 13 The Delta Stewardship Council has organized as
10:59:17 14 far as I can tell in part because it's dangerous to
10:59:20 15 allow flood control agencies to alone determine the
10:59:22 16 value of state waterways.

10:59:24 17 The Smith Canal is a beautiful waterway that
10:59:27 18 deserves preservation.

10:59:29 19 The canal has thrived from neglect requiring
10:59:32 20 no extra dredging for over its 100 year history because
10:59:36 21 of a twice daily tide exchange that conveys silt towards
10:59:40 22 the San Joaquin River reducing the mouth of the canal
10:59:42 23 from 800 feet to 50 feet. Fifty feet is the open gate
10:59:47 24 will more significantly disturb the tide exchange,
10:59:50 25 according to hydrological simulations, SJAFCA itself

Agenda Item 3

10:59:50 1 complied.

10:59:56 2 They make decisions of no significant impact
10:59:58 3 after showing something that even a child could look at
11:00:01 4 and say, wow, something is going on here. Look at how
11:00:05 5 fast that water is flowing through that narrow straw
11:00:08 6 that they have got for a gate.

11:00:09 7 So the gate project is not really scientific,
11:00:14 8 at least as far as I can tell, because it's basically
11:00:17 9 just a larger version of the seawalls, weirs, gates,
11:00:21 10 dams designed to block the tide flow in the Stockton
11:00:24 11 Delta.

11:00:26 12 So now it's not likely that the gate will
11:00:31 13 fail. Maybe it would mechanically. I had a trucking
11:00:34 14 company, and I know that equipment can fail when you
11:00:36 15 need it the most, but mostly it would be a problem of an
11:00:42 16 insidious siltation of the canal making it increasingly
11:00:46 17 useless for navigation, recreational boating and even
11:00:51 18 storm water drainage.

11:00:52 19 But now the cost of a retrofit along the levee
11:00:57 20 walls would be much less than the gate cost and would
11:01:01 21 certainly be more satisfying in terms of water quality
11:01:04 22 and long-term urban development benefits.

11:01:08 23 Plus, as SJAFCA will help you understand, even
11:01:13 24 with the gate, the levees have to be improved not as a
11:01:16 25 secondary defense but as a primary defense against tidal

Agenda Item 3

11:01:21 1 erosion and flooding. Those levees have to be in some
11:01:24 2 cases stronger than they were before, because the gate,
11:01:26 3 the water could fill up in the Smith Canal itself when
11:01:29 4 the gate is closed at a high tide period.

11:01:33 5 So now one of the problems that they, is
11:01:39 6 constantly talked about by the engineers at SJAFCA is
11:01:42 7 this problem of encroachment on the levees themselves,
11:01:47 8 there's dilapidated homes and so forth built on the
11:01:48 9 levees. It's very difficult for them to understand how
11:01:54 10 to deal with the public on this.

11:01:56 11 SJAFCA is not -- is only in law a public
11:01:59 12 agency, but it hides out and has maybe one or two
11:02:03 13 members of the public coming to their meetings, and then
11:02:07 14 they make big decisions that impact people. And they
11:02:12 15 have not come -- in my 20 years of living on the canal,
11:02:16 16 I have never had that them come and knock on my door and
11:02:19 17 say, let's take a look at the land side of your levee
11:02:22 18 and see how it looks. You know, is it safe?

11:02:26 19 They have generally depended upon homeowners
11:02:28 20 to come and report any kind of damage. But we have a
11:02:32 21 long list of homeowners that have wanted to have their
11:02:35 22 levees repaired and then they'll turn around and say,
11:02:39 23 well, and this is the levee districts and SJAFCA kind of
11:02:42 24 combined. They work together. They have the same
11:02:44 25 contractors working in both places.

Agenda Item 3

11:02:47 1 Then they'll say, well, you're one of a long
11:02:49 2 list of people, you know, as tiresome as it is, we can't
11:02:52 3 get to your part of the levee yet, unless of course a
11:02:55 4 beaver puts a hole in it, then they're forced to do
11:02:59 5 that. Okay?

11:02:59 6 And at that point they come in and do a big
11:03:02 7 rock job, looks like something you would see in Los
11:03:05 8 Angeles. And I know you're going to cut me off here in
11:03:07 9 a minute --

11:03:07 10 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Yeah. I
11:03:08 11 think you've covered the issue. We understand your
11:03:12 12 concern.

11:03:12 13 MR. ERNEST TUFFT: But I'd like to finish
11:03:13 14 though my remarks, if you don't mind. Is that okay with
11:03:16 15 you?

11:03:16 16 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Your time
11:03:17 17 is up.

11:03:18 18 MR. ERNEST TUFFT: Okay.

11:03:19 19 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Can you be
11:03:20 20 very brief?

11:03:21 21 MR. ERNEST TUFFT: Yes, I will. I'll finish
11:03:22 22 it up. Very good. Actually, I think, I can see --
11:03:27 23 okay.

11:03:28 24 So the gate will degrade the fish habitat and
11:03:31 25 the recreational potential and I believe SJAFCA should

Agenda Item 3

11:03:37 1 be, you know, that the Delta Stewardship Council should
11:03:41 2 turn this back to them and say, please, let's look at
11:03:43 3 something that's more modern, more scientific. We're
11:03:47 4 not talking about going back in time.

11:03:50 5 The gate is not -- this is a 1950's, 1960's
11:03:55 6 solution that even the Dutch wouldn't put in today.
11:03:57 7 Thank you for your time.

11:03:58 8 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Thank you
11:03:59 9 very much. Next up, Dominick Gulli. I think I
11:04:05 10 pronounced the last name correctly.

11:04:12 11 MR. DOMINICK GULLI: Yeah. I requested to be
11:04:13 12 last. Are there anymore speakers behind me?

11:04:16 13 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Yes.

11:04:18 14 MR. DOMINICK GULLI: Could I be the last
11:04:18 15 speaker, please?

11:04:19 16 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Just
11:04:21 17 proceed. It's not going to make any difference whether
11:04:23 18 you're first or last. We are going to consider all of
11:04:25 19 the comments as we get them.

11:04:26 20 MR. DOMINICK GULLI: So I had some questions
11:04:27 21 for the staff on some of the comments that they made.
11:04:31 22 Could I ask those first, prior to starting my
11:04:32 23 presentation?

11:04:32 24 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Why don't
11:04:33 25 you state your positions first and then if staff or the

Agenda Item 3

11:04:37 1 Council have questions to pursue --

11:04:40 2 MR. DOMINICK GULLI: I have questions for
11:04:41 3 staff.

11:04:41 4 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Uh-huh.

11:04:41 5 MR. DOMINICK GULLI: I am going to ask you for
11:04:43 6 questions. I had some staff -- they made some comments
11:04:44 7 in there I'd like to -- and they had a map up there.
11:04:46 8 I'd like to clarify some things on it.

11:04:48 9 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: That's
11:04:48 10 fine. That's what you have three minutes for.

11:04:50 11 MR. DOMINICK GULLI: I have public comments,
11:04:53 12 but rather than using my public comments to ask
11:04:56 13 questions, I would like to use them to make my comments
11:04:59 14 and ask the questions separate, if I could.

11:05:01 15 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Please,
11:05:02 16 make your comments.

11:05:05 17 MR. DOMINICK GULLI: Okay. So the question
11:05:06 18 for staff is, is the Fish and Wildlife ex parte
11:05:11 19 communication that was received during the thing, is
11:05:14 20 that part of the -- is that -- oh, you're timing me?
11:05:18 21 Huh. Okay. Three minutes. Huh?

11:05:23 22 Anyways, there's the Fish and Wildlife.

11:05:25 23 To be clear, my name is Dominick Gulli. Okay?
11:05:28 24 And I have tried to help SJAFCA for many years.

11:05:31 25 I submitted an alternate solution to the

Agenda Item 3

11:05:34 1 problem fixing the levees, just like Ernie talked about
11:05:36 2 along the levees, about half the price and it does meet
11:05:39 3 the urban levee design criteria.

11:05:42 4 SJAFCAs ignored it.

11:05:44 5 The Fish and Wildlife letter that's in there
11:05:47 6 relative to Patrick's case states that they, they're
11:05:53 7 going to require another residence time analysis, and
11:05:56 8 they want a water -- as far as the hyacinth treatment
11:06:00 9 they want to know what chemicals are going to be used,
11:06:02 10 what rate of application is going to be used, how
11:06:04 11 they're going to measure dissolved oxygen, and fish and
11:06:06 12 -- the letter that's in the ex parte communication was a
11:06:09 13 response to Mr. Elias's application for incidental take
11:06:13 14 permit, which was sent back.

11:06:17 15 So the permit has been rejected back to SJAFCAs
11:06:22 16 to resubmit, which includes the residence time analysis
11:06:26 17 and the water quality and the hyacinth plan.

11:06:28 18 I submitted numerous comments throughout this
11:06:31 19 whole process. I did not know about the appeal process.
11:06:35 20 I was not notified. SJAFCAs didn't have a meeting
11:06:38 21 regarding this.

11:06:39 22 And then, but I think that you guys should
11:06:42 23 consider -- by the way, thank you for coming down to
11:06:48 24 Stockton. That's a very good thing to do.

11:06:51 25 If I sound mad, it's not against you guys,

Agenda Item 3

11:06:52 1 it's more against the San Joaquin Area Flood Control
11:06:55 2 Agency.

11:06:55 3 And, again, I am a Pro Per acting as the
11:06:58 4 district attorney or attorney general in the State of
11:07:01 5 California and CEQA, so this case has not been approved
11:07:05 6 by CEQA. I'm submitting my brief here shortly and I
11:07:10 7 have, hyacinth isn't even one of my issues. My issue is
11:07:13 8 boater safety, water flow, aesthetic impacts, right of
11:07:20 9 way.

11:07:21 10 Erin here said that the project is next to the
11:07:24 11 Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel. It is, in fact,
11:07:27 12 within the Deep Water Ship Channel.

11:07:29 13 Dad's Point recreational area shown on the
11:07:32 14 map, they didn't show the flood walls that were on there
11:07:35 15 and if you go to the Louis Point boat ramp, this
11:07:39 16 project also includes a single sheet pile flood wall
11:07:42 17 with a concrete cap located at that point greater than
11:07:45 18 five feet high.

11:07:46 19 So the view just right there you will not be
11:07:49 20 able to see the San Joaquin River from Dad's Point
11:07:53 21 because of the view.

11:07:54 22 The defective administrative record is one of
11:07:58 23 my CEQA appeal items. The record is completely
11:08:02 24 defective. They do not include stuff. The -- down, the
11:08:07 25 attorney paid for the record, which is illegal,

Agenda Item 3

11:08:10 1 according to Black Historical Society case that says
11:08:17 2 that the agency should, it spent over \$120,000 preparing
11:08:21 3 the administrative record such that myself and Patrick
11:08:25 4 would not see some of the things.

11:08:27 5 They've redacted things left and right.

11:08:29 6 So my case is still alive. There's many
11:08:31 7 administrative remedies available to me in the future,
11:08:35 8 specifically the State Lands Commission has to give them
11:08:39 9 a lease, which is problematic, because the Stockton Deep
11:08:42 10 Water Ship Channel was conveyed, was bought by the
11:08:46 11 people and sold to the State Lands, and then the State
11:08:49 12 Lands has the right-of-way for the public trust
11:08:57 13 doctrine, recreation, commerce, navigation, not flood
11:09:00 14 control.

11:09:02 15 So and then to Mr. Villegas's --

11:09:10 16 Ms. Oehlschlager gave a different answer today. He
11:09:13 17 asked about how are they going to enforce the monitoring
11:09:15 18 of the water hyacinth.

11:09:17 19 I'll read out of the transcript from agenda
11:09:19 20 item 13. "Mr. Villegas: So the issue of legally
11:09:22 21 enforceable, there is a monitoring plan, and legally
11:09:26 22 enforceable, what does that look like? What is legally
11:09:29 23 enforceable?"

11:09:29 24 Ms. Oehlschlager states: "So CEQA is a
11:09:33 25 self-enforcing statute in a sense. Right? So when the

Agenda Item 3

11:09:36 1 agency is tasked, when the agency is doing a project on
11:09:38 2 its own, it sort of has to monitor itself in terms of
11:09:41 3 complying with the conditions."

11:09:43 4 That's the case of the state agency or local
11:09:46 5 agency moving forward with that.

11:09:50 6 If it is a private project, the agency who
11:09:51 7 approved the project is responsible for making sure that
11:09:53 8 that private entity does what it has to do and going to
11:09:56 9 do.

11:09:56 10 And that's the case whether something iss an
11:09:59 11 element of the project or a mitigation measure, which
11:10:01 12 would be typically, but it's enforceable by members of
11:10:05 13 the public. Right?

11:10:06 14 "The public can take a writ of mandamus
11:10:10 15 against an agency. You have to start at the
11:10:11 16 administrative level, but essentially if SJAFCA is not
11:10:15 17 doing their job, by taking the hyacinth out in a timely
11:10:20 18 manner, someone from the public can go in and say, you
11:10:23 19 are abusing your discretion by moving forward, by not
11:10:25 20 following through on the project that you, you said you
11:10:28 21 were going to do and so you're going to build, so it's
11:10:32 22 essentially a publicly enforceable obligation."

11:10:35 23 She goes onto say --

11:10:37 24 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Mr. Gulli,
11:10:38 25 you have exhausted your time.

Agenda Item 3

11:10:40 1 Do you have any quick points, other additional
11:10:41 2 points you'd like to make?

11:10:44 3 MR. DOMINICK GULLI: Yeah, I would.

11:10:45 4 I used the four point system for making a
11:10:49 5 decision.

11:10:49 6 What's the best thing that can happen if you
11:10:50 7 go along with the staff's recommendations? Not much.
11:10:54 8 The gate moves on.

11:10:55 9 What's the worst thing that can happen if you
11:10:57 10 approve the staff's recommendations? The worst thing is
11:11:00 11 that the final letter of map revision for the gate
11:11:03 12 cannot be obtained, the U.S. Coast Guard doesn't approve
11:11:07 13 this project to which according to the record there's no
11:11:10 14 correspondence with the Coast Guard.

11:11:12 15 The State Lands Commission doesn't issue the
11:11:14 16 lease, or the funding is not obtained. In short, your
11:11:18 17 credibility is going to be tarnished if you approve this
11:11:20 18 and then someone else shoots it down or if I win in
11:11:22 19 court. Trust and believe in me.

11:11:24 20 What's the best thing that can happen if you
11:11:27 21 request for SJAFC A to redo the certification and have
11:11:30 22 the board make the determine in front of the public,
11:11:33 23 these people, and then resubmit?

11:11:36 24 Your credibility is maintained and your
11:11:39 25 decision is based on best available science and proper

Agenda Item 3

11:11:43 1 administrative procedures are adhered to.

11:11:46 2 Adaptive management, they declined to do it,
11:11:50 3 but when a project gets so expensive, right, as it is
11:11:54 4 right now and so convoluted, and so hard to get the
11:11:57 5 permits for, you have got to adapt.

11:11:59 6 And I included some slides for this program
11:12:02 7 and obviously in three minutes I couldn't do it, but I
11:12:04 8 trust that you have all reviewed those slides and
11:12:07 9 understand the engineering issues.

11:12:10 10 Mr. Sean Brooks works for me, by the way. He
11:12:13 11 is a great employee and he's absolutely right, because
11:12:16 12 when water is moving up, the law of inertia, Newton's
11:12:21 13 Law of Inertia says when an object is in motion it will
11:12:24 14 stay in motion until acted upon by an equal and opposite
11:12:28 15 force.

11:12:28 16 When it's coming up the river and hits the
11:12:30 17 Smith Canal Gate it's not going to turn around and go to
11:12:34 18 Rio Vista. It's going to keep going up because right
11:12:35 19 there where the Smith Canal Gate, the current
11:12:38 20 floodplain, the flood stage elevation has what's known
11:12:41 21 as a hydraulic jump. That hydraulic jump is going to
11:12:45 22 jump higher.

11:12:45 23 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Thank you.

11:12:47 24 MR. DOMINICK GULLI: Thank you all. Thank you
11:12:49 25 Stewards of the Delta. Please defer this thing. It is

Agenda Item 3

11:12:51 1 not ripe and ready. They've got a lot to do in order
11:12:55 2 to -- there's no sense wasting everybody's time and
11:12:58 3 money, when Mr. Soluri sues you again or sues you and
11:13:01 4 SJAFCA again, it will be a shame. Thank you.

11:13:04 5 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Thank you.
11:13:06 6 Robert Kavanaugh.

11:13:16 7 MR. ROBERT KAVANAUGH: All right if I use this
11:13:17 8 one?

11:13:17 9 VICE-CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Yes,
11:13:18 10 that's fine.

11:13:18 11 MR. ROBERT KAVANAUGH: I am Robert Kavanaugh.
11:13:19 12 I live on Atherton Island. I live within 100 yards of
11:13:23 13 where this gate is going and I have been a proponent for
11:13:26 14 the gate for a long time. I got excited when I heard it
11:13:28 15 was going to be built, and I am really disappointed in
11:13:31 16 how long it's taken in the courts, and I guess that's
11:13:33 17 not your concern at this point in time.

11:13:35 18 But I see the gate as a break water. I served
11:13:41 19 on the Port Commission for 10 years and I've tried to
11:13:44 20 get the port director to put a five mile zone from Rough
11:13:48 21 and Ready Island all the way to the head of the channel,
11:13:50 22 but that doesn't go.

11:13:51 23 Large boats come up. We get a wake up against
11:13:54 24 the island and, of course, the erosion that's going on
11:13:59 25 both on the point, at the park and the rest. It's a

Agenda Item 3

11:14:03 1 little frightening.

11:14:04 2 I have got spots on my property that's slowly
11:14:07 3 leaning into the river. We have a launch ramp right
11:14:11 4 there at the mouth of the Smith and, needless to say,
11:14:14 5 the folks blowing in and out of there, this is going to
11:14:19 6 slow them down. So and then I heard something about
11:14:22 7 hyacinth, and I have been fighting with hyacinth for a
11:14:26 8 long, long time, but where does it come from?

11:14:27 9 It comes out of the main channel and it
11:14:30 10 washes in and then it goes up to the launch ramp around
11:14:33 11 our docks and into the cove.

11:14:35 12 Now, yeah, they're going to -- some of it's
11:14:37 13 going to get trapped in there but it's going to be one
11:14:40 14 heck of a lot less in there as a result of the gate. So
11:14:43 15 the gate is a win-win from my perspective and that's it.

11:14:48 16 Anybody got any questions?

11:14:49 17 If you want to go boating, give me a call.

11:14:51 18 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Thank you
11:14:52 19 very much. The last request I have is from Martina
11:14:52 20 Gulli.

11:15:04 21 MS. MARTINA GULLI: Hello.

11:15:05 22 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Good
11:15:05 23 morning.

11:15:05 24 MS. MARTINA GULLI: Hi there. Good morning.
11:15:07 25 I'd like to start off by thanking you for coming to

Agenda Item 3

11:15:10 1 Stockton today.

11:15:12 2 My name is Martina. I grew up here in
11:15:15 3 Stockton. I went to St. Mary's High School. I
11:15:17 4 currently work in Pleasanton, so I commute to the East
11:15:20 5 Bay every day on the 580 corridor. I am an account
11:15:25 6 recruiting manager for a construction staffing company.
11:15:27 7 I took the day off to be here to speak on behalf as a
11:15:30 8 resident of Stockton.

11:15:32 9 There was a great point that was brought up at
11:15:35 10 the November meeting, the SJAFCA meeting back in
11:15:39 11 November, and the concern was that the effect that the
11:15:42 12 gate will have on -- on the Port of Stockton. There's
11:15:47 13 been plans for the Army Corps of Engineers to deepen our
11:15:50 14 channel so that we can have our port be comparable to
11:15:54 15 the Oakland Port to bring in, you know, these large
11:15:58 16 cargo ships.

11:15:59 17 Right now driving on 580, there's lot of, you
11:16:03 18 know, semi-trucks that makes traffic really bad and I
11:16:06 19 think, you know, if as Stockton if we were able to
11:16:10 20 deepen our channel, we could bring in, you know, the
11:16:14 21 large cargo ships and not have issues with traffic.

11:16:17 22 You know, it would bring more jobs to our
11:16:19 23 community, more money, make Stockton a more desirable
11:16:23 24 place to live. There's a map here for the future plans
11:16:28 25 for the Army Corps of Engineers of what they want to do

Agenda Item 3

11:16:32 1 in regards to making Stockton a deeper channel.

11:16:35 2 And if we, you know, were to build the gate,
11:16:39 3 you know, with the levee, that wouldn't allow us to
11:16:41 4 deepen it or widen the channel to make that effective
11:16:45 5 and follow through with those plans.

11:16:46 6 So that's, that's one of the points I wanted
11:16:50 7 to bring up today.

11:16:51 8 Another is just the recreation piece of it.
11:16:54 9 You know, growing up in Stockton, playing softball at
11:16:57 10 the Louis Park, we used to have tournaments there and as
11:17:01 11 a young girl, you know, we would go to the Dad's Point
11:17:04 12 and, you know, use that as a place to go swimming and
11:17:07 13 barbecue.

11:17:08 14 And if we were to, you know, build the gate
11:17:11 15 and the levee, that would take away just another fun
11:17:14 16 recreation piece of that Stockton has to offer.

11:17:17 17 And I think that, you know, as a community we
11:17:20 18 really need to focus on what's going to be beneficial to
11:17:23 19 make Stockton a more desirable place to live and not,
11:17:26 20 you know, take away from it.

11:17:28 21 In addition it's, you know, expensive, it's
11:17:31 22 not -- there are some alternative solutions that we
11:17:36 23 could utilize that are going to be more cost effective.

11:17:38 24 I think that, you know, we should really
11:17:40 25 consider, you know, the cheaper solutions and what's

Agenda Item 3

11:17:42 1 going to be in Stockton's best interests for our future
11:17:45 2 here.

11:17:46 3 So thank you again for coming out today and I
11:17:51 4 appreciate you being here.

11:17:52 5 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Thank you
11:17:52 6 for taking the time to come.

11:17:55 7 MS. MARTINA GULLI: Thank you.

11:17:55 8 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Appreciate
11:17:56 9 your comments. Next up, Shelly Gulli.

11:18:03 10 MS. SHELLY GULLI: Hi. I am a Stockton
11:18:04 11 resident, Shelly Gulli.

11:18:08 12 You guys, this is a boondoggle. This whole
11:18:12 13 thing on this gate is a boondoggle. The same people
11:18:15 14 that want to put this gate in are the same people that
11:18:18 15 have worked on Stockton all their lives, and no one else
11:18:23 16 can get their foot in the door as far as an engineer
11:18:27 17 goes. It's a boon-doggle.

11:18:29 18 There are so many cheaper ways to do this,
11:18:32 19 People, and there are ideas.

11:18:35 20 My husband has created an idea and they
11:18:38 21 wouldn't, they didn't even read it. They did not read
11:18:41 22 it. My husband spent six months to come up with an
11:18:43 23 idea, wrote a book, a plan, and they didn't even read
11:18:47 24 it. Do you know why?

11:18:48 25 Because they already had it set in motion that

Agenda Item 3

11:18:50 1 we are going to build this gate, and you're not going to
11:18:53 2 stop it, we're building it, and they are the same --
11:18:56 3 they want to load their pockets, People. It's all about
11:18:59 4 loading their pockets. It's a boondoggle.

11:19:02 5 We do not need a \$1 million gate in Stockton.
11:19:06 6 We don't need it. I grew up in Stockton. I have lived
11:19:10 7 here all my life. There are ways, better ways to do it
11:19:14 8 and they are lying to the people. They are lying to
11:19:19 9 their residents and it's just we don't need it. There's
11:19:22 10 way more effective ways to do it.

11:19:24 11 And as I said, when my husband presented his,
11:19:28 12 his book or the plan for what he had, they did not read
11:19:32 13 it. They already had in motion who they were going to
11:19:36 14 pick.

11:19:37 15 Yes, I don't want to mention the engineering
11:19:40 16 firm, you probably already know who it is. They said,
11:19:45 17 "We are giving the job to them, they're going to do it."

11:19:48 18 He has a hard time getting his foot in the
11:19:50 19 door. He is single. He's moved here from
11:19:52 20 Massachusetts. Nobody knows him. Very educated man and
11:19:57 21 worth listening to. He's got more character and honesty
11:20:01 22 than anybody I know and he has tried to tell the truth
11:20:05 23 to these people and say, hey, let's do this, but they
11:20:07 24 don't want to hear it. They don't want a better idea.
11:20:10 25 Why? Why do they not want a better idea? Because they

Agenda Item 3

11:20:13 1 want to load their pockets like they have been loading
11:20:16 2 them, and no one has ever checked their work.

11:20:19 3 All their work that they have done all this
11:20:21 4 time, no one has ever checked their work. They send out
11:20:25 5 experts. Oh, send an expert here, send an expert there.
11:20:29 6 Oh, we'll just send our expert out and costing, you
11:20:32 7 know, millions of dollars.

11:20:34 8 So that's all I want to say. Thank you for
11:20:35 9 coming.

11:20:36 10 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Yeah.
11:20:36 11 Thank you.

11:20:40 12 MS. SHELLY GULLI: Oh, and I forgot to say
11:20:42 13 that, that where the gate they want to put up, it's
11:20:45 14 going to be really ugly. It's not -- aesthetically,
11:20:48 15 it's ugly. It's big and it's ugly.

11:20:50 16 And there's a beach there and it's a beautiful
11:20:56 17 beach for -- I see a lot of the less fortunate people
11:21:00 18 coming and that's where they like to swim. That is
11:21:03 19 their swimming area. They don't have pools and that's
11:21:06 20 their place to go swimming.

11:21:08 21 We can't do that. There's just better ways.
11:21:12 22 Just look into some other opportunities, that's all I'm
11:21:15 23 asking, is look into other options. Thank you again.
11:21:18 24 Bye.

11:21:19 25 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Thank you.

Agenda Item 3

11:21:21 1 Do I have any others who wish to address the Council?

11:21:21 2 (No response.)

11:21:27 3 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Okay.

11:21:28 4 Hearing no further requests, we will now close
11:21:30 5 the public comment portion of this hearing.

11:21:35 6 We'll now hear from any Council Members who
11:21:38 7 wish to speak on proposed findings. Any Council Members
11:21:41 8 wish to speak?

11:21:41 9 (No response.)

11:21:50 10 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Okay.

11:21:51 11 Thank you, Members.

11:21:51 12 Do I have a motion to adopt the proposed
11:21:55 13 determination, recommended by staff?

11:21:58 14 COUNCIL MEMBER JUDGE DAMRELL: Submitted.

11:22:01 15 COUNCIL MEMBER WEINBERG: Second.

11:22:02 16 VICE-CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Okay. We
11:22:02 17 have a motion and a second. Any discussion on the
11:22:04 18 motion?

11:22:06 19 COUNCIL MEMBER JUDGE DAMRELL: Looking at --

11:22:10 20 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Mike?

11:22:12 21 COUNCIL MEMBER JUDGE DAMRELL: Thank you. We
11:22:15 22 are not a free agency as an appellate body, this is a
11:22:19 23 quasi, quasi-judicial body at this point, and we are
11:22:24 24 constrained by the law that the Delta Reform Act, and
11:22:28 25 that is paramount, and as a result we're not here to

Agenda Item 3

11:22:34 1 determine if this project is the best project or if it's
11:22:38 2 right or wrong, but it doesn't actually, is it actually
11:22:43 3 consistent with the Delta Reform Act? That's what our
11:22:46 4 job is.

11:22:47 5 So I think that's very important that we keep
11:22:49 6 our eye on the ball here with that respect. I have
11:22:53 7 nothing further to add.

11:22:55 8 COUNCIL MEMBER ASSEMBLYMAN GATTO: Everybody,
11:22:57 9 it's terrific to be here in Stockton.

11:23:00 10 My mother-in-law grew up in Stockton, but I
11:23:02 11 won't hold that against the city. And both my
11:23:09 12 mother-in-law and father-in-law are graduates of UOP, so
11:23:10 13 it's wonderful to be here.

11:23:11 14 And it was great seeing the city again today
11:23:14 15 and last night, and I want to thank all of the members
11:23:17 16 of the public who came here, because we are a government
11:23:19 17 body and even on something like this, where we have a
11:23:23 18 narrow focus and a narrow vote before us, it's really
11:23:26 19 important to hear from all of you.

11:23:27 20 Mr. Gulli, you made some really good points
11:23:30 21 and just terrific points and your family did too and I
11:23:33 22 just want to thank you for all of the effort you put
11:23:35 23 into this, because I think your knowledge of the
11:23:37 24 situation is really profound and I think it helps inform
11:23:42 25 the government a lot better, and I hope the agency does

Agenda Item 3

11:23:44 1 consider your proposal and for this or maybe future
11:23:47 2 projects or something, I do hope they look into it.

11:23:50 3 And Mr. Meserve, you made, or Mr. Soluri, you
11:23:53 4 made some really fascinating legal points. I am going
11:23:57 5 to read that case that, that Lotus case. Even though I
11:24:03 6 am going to be taking the advice of our counsel that,
11:24:06 7 you know, I don't think we're making a CEQA
11:24:08 8 determination today, I understand your point that it's
11:24:10 9 analogous, it's an analogous part of the law, but, but
11:24:15 10 this is a, I think this is a rather tough decision, but
11:24:20 11 I think the staff did a very thorough job as well
11:24:23 12 briefing us and I want to thank you as well for doing
11:24:25 13 that.

11:24:25 14 And, again, thanks to everybody who came out
11:24:27 15 today.

11:24:29 16 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Any other
11:24:32 17 comments? Then let's proceed to the vote.

11:24:36 18 The vote would be to adopt the proposed
11:24:40 19 determination recommended by staff.

11:24:43 20 COUNCIL MEMBER WEINBERG: Weinberg, aye.

11:24:45 21 COUNCIL MEMBER ASSEMBLYMAN GATTO: Gatto, aye.

11:24:46 22 COUNCIL MEMBER JUDGE DAMRELL: Damrell, aye.

11:24:48 23 VICE CHAIR COUNCIL MEMBER FIORINI: Fiorini,
11:24:50 24 aye.

11:24:50 25 COUNCIL MEMBER VILLEGAS: Villegas, aye.

Agenda Item 3

11:24:53 1 CHAIR FIORINI: Okay. We have a five-zero
11:24:54 2 vote. The Council has denied the appeal.

11:24:57 3 SJAFCA may proceed with the Smith Canal Gate
11:25:01 4 Project and the ex parte communication restriction
11:25:04 5 surrounding this appeal is lifted. The parties with
11:25:08 6 respect to administrative next steps, our clerk will
11:25:11 7 certify the Council's official determination.

11:25:14 8 Once that is done, Executive Officer Jessica
11:25:18 9 Pearson will transmit the certified determination to you
11:25:22 10 via the service list and we'll also post it on the
11:25:24 11 Council's web page for the specific covered action
11:25:27 12 appeal.

11:25:28 13 As I mentioned earlier today, once we have the
11:25:30 14 rough transcript, we'll share it with you via the
11:25:33 15 service list and post it on our web page in
11:25:36 16 approximately two weeks.

11:25:39 17 I want to thank all of the participants and
11:25:41 18 the attendees. This hearing is now adjourned and we'll
11:25:46 19 take a ten minute recess.

11:31:42 20 (Whereupon the proceedings were
11:31:42 21 concluded at 11:31 a.m.)

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE OF CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER

I, LINDA J. HART, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, licensed by the State of California, being empowered to administer oaths and affirmations pursuant to Section 2093(b) of the Code of Civil Procedure, do hereby certify:

That the said proceeding was taken before me in shorthand writing, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription;

That the foregoing transcript constitutes a full, true and correct record of the proceedings which then and there took place;

That I am a disinterested person to the said action;

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my signature on this 27th day of March, 2019.



LINDA J. HART, RMR/CRR
California CSR # 4357

L.J. HART & ASSOCIATES, INC.
BARRON & RICH
Certified Shorthand Reporters
1900 Point West Way, Suite 277
Sacramento, California 95815
916.922.9001 fax: 916.922.3461

March 27, 2019

Job No. 19-8316 ljh

DELTA STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
ATTN: ERIN MULLIN
980 Ninth Street, Suite 1500
Sacramento, California 95814

--oOo

Re: PUBLIC HEARING, Consideration of Proposed Findings
Regarding the Appeal of the Certification of Consistency
for the Smith Canal Gate Project
Date taken: March 21, 2019

--oOo--

Dear Ms. Mullin:

We wish to inform you of the disposition of this
original transcript. The following procedure is being
taken by our office.

 X The sealed original is being
forwarded to your office.

Sincerely,

L.J. HART & ASSOCIATES, INC.
BARRON & RICH
Certified Shorthand Reporters

cc: ERIN MULLIN

	37:3,5;45:13;49:22;66:2,2	55:15;58:23;66:11	44:13
\$		agencies (2)	Angeles (1)
	adapt (1)	7:12;46:15	49:8
\$1 (1)	57:5	Agency (21)	announce (2)
63:5	adaptive (4)	5:9;7:17;22:24;30:12,	21:17;22:9
\$120,000 (1)	11:17;13:21;20:6;57:2	13,22,22,22;31:15;32:2;	announcements (1)
54:2	add (2)	48:12;53:2;54:2;55:1,1,	5:11
	23:22;66:7	4,5,6,15;65:22;66:25	anticipate (1)
A	addendum (1)	agenda (3)	5:5
	19:15	4:18;25:10;54:19	anymore (1)
A-2 (1)	addition (1)	ago (3)	50:12
31:1	61:21	29:14,18;32:11	Anyways (1)
ability (1)	additional (4)	agree (1)	51:22
8:15	5:21;21:23;43:4;56:1	36:5	Appendix (1)
able (5)	Additionally (1)	ahead (1)	17:6
24:10;37:12,16;53:20;	20:4	44:1	appeal (30)
60:19	address (5)	alarm (1)	4:20;5:3,7;6:14,21;
above (1)	5:22;29:11;41:8;42:3;	5:13	8:15,16,19,23,24;9:3,9,
36:25	65:1	alive (1)	12:10;3;11:10,13,19;
absolutely (2)	addressed (1)	54:6	12:1;13:8;16:23;18:13,
29:25;57:11	29:11	allegations (2)	18:19;19:20;8:41:14;
abusing (1)	adhered (1)	8:17,18	52:19;53:23;68:2,5,12
55:19	57:1	alleged (1)	appeals (2)
accept (1)	adjourned (1)	8:17	8:20;12:23
39:24	68:18	allow (3)	appears (1)
access (1)	adjudicated (1)	22:6;46:15;61:3	24:5
24:12	40:5	allowed (3)	appellant (25)
According (4)	administrative (6)	21:10,22,23	12:24;13:14;15:8,16,
10:15;46:25;54:1;	53:22;54:3,7;55:16;	allowing (1)	21:16;1,18;17:10,21,25;
56:13	57:1;68:6	43:2	18:4,12,15,24;19:3,9,16,
account (1)	adopt (3)	alone (2)	23:20;17;21:10;22:1;
60:5	6:19;65:12;67:18	11:6;46:15	25:23;26:9;32:12;38:11
accurately (1)	adopted (1)	along (5)	Appellate (2)
41:3	25:8	10:12;46:10;47:19;	40:10;65:22
achieve (1)	adopting (2)	52:2;56:7	applicability (1)
7:1	5:5;34:15	alter (1)	14:10
acknowledges (2)	adoption (1)	13:14	applicable (5)
37:4,20	20:11	altered (2)	11:9;14:18;15:1;16:9;
ACPOA (3)	adverse (1)	15:24;19:13	28:6
11:12;12:2;26:10	30:14	alternate (1)	applicant (1)
acre (1)	advice (1)	51:25	30:20
43:9	67:6	alternative (3)	applicants (1)
acres (1)	aesthetic (1)	33:7,8;61:22	33:20
43:8	53:8	among (1)	application (2)
across (2)	aesthetically (1)	45:19	52:10,13
10:13;17:20	64:14	amount (2)	applies (1)
Act (8)	affect (2)	23:20;43:25	33:9
6:11,25;7:11;13:24;	13:16;44:19	analogous (2)	apply (7)
14:4;28:23;65:24;66:3	17:16	67:9,9	12:22;14:7;15:13;
acted (1)	affected (1)	analogy (1)	16:11,15;20:6;39:16
57:14	affecting (1)	43:12	appreciate (2)
acting (1)	45:1	analyses (1)	62:4,8
53:3	affiliation (1)	17:20	approach (1)
action (9)	42:6	analysis (15)	14:15
6:9,22;7:10,18,22;	again (29)	6:16;10:1;13:8,23;	approached (1)
8:14;16:4;26:13;68:11	8:10,20;9:6;16:4;27:8,	14:9;15:11;16:10;17:25;	42:18
actions (7)	23:28;13,19,20;29:7,14,	18:8;19:3,14,22;40:12;	appropriate (2)
6:24;7:15;13:12;	21:30;2,7;32:6,7,10,11;	52:7,16	39:1;40:1
14:18,21;17:4;31:16	33:7,16,17;42:5;53:3;	analyze (4)	appropriately (2)
actually (23)	58:3,4;62:3;64:23;66:14;	17:22,23;39:18;44:15	18:23;22:18
26:5;27:8,11,25;28:8,	67:14	analyzed (3)	approve (4)
11,25;30:1,6;31:24;33:5;	against (6)	43:19,21;44:12	7:15;56:10,12,17
34:9,12,19,25;35:13,15;	47:25;52:25;53:1;	analyzing (1)	approved (2)

53:5;55:7 approximately (3) 21:1;43:8;68:16 aquatic (1) 13:16 Area (8) 5:9;10:20;22:22;23; 44:18;53:1,13;64:19 argument (6) 33:8,8,25;36:3;39:12, 19 arguments (6) 18:12;28:5;33:25; 34:3,24;39:4 Army (2) 60:13,25 around (5) 5:12;35:9;48:22; 57:17;59:10 arrows (1) 34:18 aside (2) 35:11,18 aspects (1) 11:15 ASSEMBLYMAN (3) 4:9;66:8;67:21 associated (1) 32:13 Associates (1) 21:7 Association (3) 11:12;25:24;26:9 assumed (1) 43:7 assumes (1) 33:9 assuming (3) 42:23,25;45:14 assumptions (1) 9:21 Atherton (9) 10:12,25;11:11;25:23; 26:9;27:18;37:8,21; 58:12 attached (2) 20:9,10 attempt (2) 27:7;28:14 attended (1) 44:1 attendees (1) 68:18 attorney (3) 53:4,4,25 audience (1) 22:15 authority (7) 6:9,23,23;7:10,12; 31:9;33:19 available (11) 8:11,21;11:16,19;17:4, 7,12;20:2;26:22;54:7;	56:25 Avery (5) 6:4;14:14,16;17:2; 20:16 avoid (6) 15:21;16:2;18:25; 19:5;33:25;34:5 avoided (1) 18:22 away (3) 34:18;61:15,20 aye (5) 67:20,21,22,24,25	become (2) 37:12;41:5 begin (4) 4:6;5:10;22:20,22 beginning (3) 4:6;22:9,23 behalf (4) 22:1;23:18;26:8;60:7 behind (3) 41:2,5;50:12 below (2) 36:12,25 benchmarks (1) 25:2 beneficial (1) 61:18 benefits (1) 47:22 best (11) 11:16;17:4,7,11;20:2; 26:22;56:6,20,25;62:1; 66:1 Bethany (1) 23:14 better (5) 63:7,24,25;64:21; 66:25 beyond (2) 36:17;38:18 big (4) 37:12;48:14;49:6; 64:15 bit (3) 10:7;24:18;26:3 Black (1) 54:1 block (1) 47:10 blowing (1) 59:5 blue (6) 11:4;22:2,5,12;23:3; 42:1 board (2) 25:14;56:22 boat (2) 46:10;53:15 boater (1) 53:8 boating (2) 47:17;59:17 boats (1) 58:23 body (15) 31:19,20;33:1,12,23; 34:15,17,23,25;35:9,14; 44:21;65:22,23;66:17 body's (2) 31:9;33:18 book (3) 30:2;62:23;63:12 boondoggle (3) 62:12,13;63:4	boon-doggle (1) 62:17 both (8) 7:4;8:20;13:20;28:13; 33:24;48:25;58:25;66:11 bought (1) 54:10 break (1) 58:18 brief (5) 10:9;23:18;26:5; 49:20;53:6 briefing (1) 67:12 bring (4) 60:15,20,22;61:7 Brooks (6) 42:7,10,13,14;45:8; 57:10 brought (2) 11:22;60:9 BRYDIE (1) 42:12 build (4) 55:21;61:2,14;63:1 building (1) 63:2 built (4) 32:24;33:6;48:8;58:15 bullet (1) 27:24 Bye (1) 64:24
	B		C
	b2 (11) 11:15;14:15,17;16:24; 20:1;26:13;28:6;31:14; 32:13;33:9;39:16 b3 (5) 11:16;17:1,3;18:18; 20:2 b3's (1) 17:11 b4 (7) 11:17;13:11,13,22; 14:6,11;20:5 back (17) 5:8;9:10;11:1;27:3; 29:15;35:5,9;36:18; 37:15,16;42:18;43:23; 50:2,4;52:14,15;60:10 background (1) 8:5 bad (1) 60:18 balance (1) 13:6 ball (1) 66:6 barbecue (1) 61:13 based (8) 9:21;10:1;14:5,8; 26:22;27:11;45:23;56:25 baseline (6) 36:15,17;37:16;38:17, 19;39:25 basically (2) 11:21;47:8 basis (1) 36:6 bathrooms (1) 5:16 Bay (2) 44:14;60:5 beach (2) 64:16,17 beautiful (2) 46:17;64:16 beaver (1) 49:4		calculations (1) 43:7 California (3) 4:25;10:14;53:5 California's (1) 6:25 call (3) 4:5;38:3;59:17 calling (2) 37:24,25 Caltrans (1) 39:18 came (4) 40:10;43:23;66:16; 67:14 can (28) 10:8,16,25;22:2,17,25; 23:5;24:12;31:2;33:10; 34:21;35:4,16;38:9;40:6; 45:21;46:14;47:8,14; 49:19,22;55:14,18;56:6, 9,20;60:14;62:16 Canal (29) 4:21;5:4,8;6:5,15; 10:12;11:1,24;14:11,12; 15:6;20:19;21:1;42:15, 17;43:4,5,8;45:10;46:5,

17,19,22;47:16;48:3,15; 57:17,19;68:3	22;62:5,8;64:10,25;65:3, 10,20;67:16,23;68:1	45:19	comply (2) 13:12,22
cap (1) 53:17	changes (1) 19:12	Coast (2) 56:12,14	complying (1) 55:3
card (4) 22:2,12;23:3;42:1	Channel (11) 10:13;46:11;53:11,12; 54:10;58:21;59:9;60:14, 20;61:1,4	Code (2) 9:8;20:8	component (2) 29:8;41:10
cards (1) 22:5	character (1) 63:21	coequal (2) 7:2,9	components (2) 17:16;27:11
care (1) 33:22	characterization (1) 30:18	cold (1) 10:7	concedes (1) 27:9
career (1) 36:9	characterized (2) 41:3,10	colleagues (1) 36:8	concern (5) 24:13;45:3;49:12; 58:17;60:11
cargo (2) 60:16,21	characterizes (1) 31:16	collected (1) 41:2	concerning (1) 8:2
Carlin (1) 42:14	cheaper (2) 61:25;62:18	combined (1) 48:24	conclude (3) 15:16;16:18;35:3
carry (1) 7:14	checked (2) 64:2,4	comfortable (1) 35:15	concluded (2) 41:4;68:21
case (18) 9:9;29:2,9;38:2;39:16, 17,17;41:11,11,12;52:6; 53:5;54:1,6;55:4,10; 67:5,5	chemicals (1) 52:9	coming (9) 31:19;41:14;48:13; 52:23;57:16;59:25;62:3; 64:9,18	concludes (1) 20:13
cases (2) 29:10;48:2	child (1) 47:3	commence (1) 21:13	conclusion (7) 6:17;13:9;14:9;18:15; 19:16,21;21:16
categories (1) 14:6	chosen (1) 38:12	comment (14) 20:19;21:13,21,25; 22:8,12;29:16;36:3;41:8, 22;42:1;44:11,12;65:5	conclusions (1) 40:13
category (1) 14:3	Chris (1) 25:12	Commenters (1) 20:21	concocted (1) 33:25
caution (1) 39:2	Christman (3) 6:3;16:25;17:2	comments (16) 5:2;9:7;12:12;22:4,17; 45:7;50:19,21;51:6,11, 12,13,16;52:18;62:9; 67:17	concrete (1) 53:17
cell (1) 5:18	Churchwell (1) 43:13	commerce (1) 54:13	conditions (6) 18:21;19:1,5,8;37:16; 55:3
cellular (1) 11:2	circulated (1) 25:4	Commission (3) 54:8;56:15;58:19	conduct (1) 8:24
CEQA (27) 14:18;19:15;28:19,20, 25;29:7,24;30:2;31:1,7; 36:10,10,11,17;39:2,3, 11;40:3,17;41:9,16,17; 53:5,6,23;54:24;67:7	cite (1) 8:8	communication (3) 51:19;52:12;68:4	conducted (3) 8:25;25:4;41:3
certainly (1) 47:21	cited (1) 29:4	community (2) 60:23;61:17	confirming (1) 25:13
certification (30) 4:20;5:3,7;6:14;7:20, 21,23,25;8:1,3,4,7,9,13, 16;9:18;10:15,16,18; 11:7,24;13:1,7;15:18; 16:20;18:17;19:18,25; 40:11;56:21	cites (1) 29:24	commute (1) 60:4	consider (10) 9:5;14:10;18:25;19:4, 10;34:25;50:18;52:23; 61:25;67:1
certifications (2) 8:10,20	city (3) 45:18;66:11,14	company (3) 21:6;47:14;60:6	consideration (1) 4:19
certified (3) 12:3;20:22;68:9	claims (1) 8:14	comparable (1) 60:14	considered (2) 18:22;40:24
certify (1) 68:7	clam (1) 46:10	complete (1) 12:4	considers (1) 8:19
CHAIR (44) 4:1,2,13,16;5:25;9:6; 20:15;23:2,7,11,14;24:4, 7;35:23;36:1,41;19,24; 45:6,9;49:10,16,19;50:8, 13,16,24;51:4,9,15; 55:24;57:23;58:5;59:18,	clarify (1) 51:8	completed (1) 22:5	consist (1) 11:2
	clear (3) 14:1;40:21;51:23	completely (5) 31:15;33:24;34:2; 36:22;53:23	consisted (1) 13:23
	clearly (1) 44:7	completion (1) 21:12	consistency (20) 4:20;5:3,7;6:15;7:13, 19,20;8:3,10;9:13;11:15, 24;28:12;31:13;32:8,23; 33:10;35:5,10;40:11
	clerk (1) 68:6	compliance (4) 17:11;28:3,15;36:5	consistent (6) 9:24;11:8;33:2;34:8; 39:14;66:3
	close (4) 22:12;28:16;36:2;65:4	complied (1) 47:1	consists (2) 9:20;10:10
	closed (1) 48:4	complies (2) 34:2;35:16	
	closest (1) 5:13		
	closing (2) 43:3;44:25		
	Club (1)		

constantly (2) 45:24;48:6	16,24;51:1,4,9,15;55:24; 57:23;58:5,9;59:18,22;	48:20	24;16:3,9,10,14,14;17:6,
constrained (1) 65:24	62:5,8;64:10,25;65:1,3,6, 7,10,14,15,16,19,20,21;	Damrell (7) 4:11,11;65:14,19,21;	15;26:14,17;28:15,22,22,
constructed (2) 14:22;16:5	66:8;67:16,20,21,22,23, 25;68:2	67:22,22	23;31:24;32:13,16;34:7,
construction (2) 32:18;60:6	council's (14) 5:19;6:22;7:7;8:11,22;	dams (1) 47:10	8,10,14,19;35:16;39:3,
consultation (4) 26:23;27:1,12,22	9:15,17,25;10:17;11:19; 21:1,24;68:7,11	dangerous (1) 46:14	10,14;41:16;43:6,25;
contact (1) 21:6	counsel (1) 67:6	date (2) 11:11;32:15	44:14,21,25;45:2;46:13;
contains (3) 5:2;6:20;39:8	counsel's (1) 6:9	day (2) 60:5,7	47:11;50:1;57:25;65:24;
contends (8) 11:13;13:14;15:8,21; 16:1;17:10,21;18:24	Country (1) 45:19	days (3) 8:16,24;9:3	66:3
context (1) 17:14	couple (1) 22:15	deal (1) 48:10	demonstrate (8) 7:13;9:13;11:14;
contractors (1) 48:25	course (2) 49:3;58:24	deals (2) 26:19;38:2	12:25;17:11;18:13;19:4,
contrary (1) 31:7	court (6) 20:23;21:5;22:16; 40:10;41:14;56:19	dealt (1) 38:12	9
Control (16) 5:9;14:1,7,12;15:5,9; 19:6;22:24;31:21;32:7, 14,35;12;40:23;46:15; 53:1;54:14	courts (4) 39:12;41:13,17;58:16	debris (1) 41:1	denied (1) 68:2
conveyed (1) 54:10	Cove (9) 10:12,25;11:11;25:23; 26:9;27:18;37:8,21; 59:11	December (2) 12:1,3	deny (4) 5:6;6:20;9:9;20:8
conveys (1) 46:21	cover (1) 27:5	decided (2) 29:10;45:14	denying (4) 12:23;16:23;18:18;
convoluted (1) 57:4	covered (16) 6:9,22,24;7:10,15,18, 22;8:14;13:12;14:17,21; 16:4;17:3;26:13;49:11; 68:11	decides (1) 31:14	19:19
coordinate (1) 21:5	create (1) 31:18	decision (7) 9:3;31:23;40:10; 45:16;56:5,25;67:10	Department (2) 26:23;29:3
Corps (2) 60:13,25	created (1) 62:20	decisions (3) 17:17;47:2;48:14	depended (1) 48:19
correctly (1) 50:10	credibility (2) 56:17,24	declined (1) 57:2	deputy (1) 6:1
correspondence (1) 56:14	criteria (6) 17:7,12;18:2,10,14; 52:3	Deep (5) 10:13;11:3;53:11,12; 54:9	describe (1) 13:7
corresponding (2) 14:25;16:9	current (1) 57:19	deepen (3) 60:13,20;61:4	described (4) 10:18;19:15;20:9;27:4
corridor (1) 60:5	currently (1) 60:4	deeper (1) 61:1	describing (2) 7:1;8:17
cost (3) 47:19,20;61:23	cut (1) 49:8	defective (2) 53:22,24	description (2) 10:5,10
costing (1) 64:6	cutoff (1) 11:10	defense (2) 47:25,25	descriptions (1) 17:7
COUNCIL (109) 4:1,3,8,9,11,13,15,16; 5:23;6:2,13,17,18,23; 7:11,21,23,24;8:11,19, 23,25;9:2,7,23;11:23; 12:5,7,11,14,21;14:10; 20:8,11,15;21:14,18,24; 22:15;23:2,7,11,14;24:1, 4,6,22;25:15;31:14;34:3; 35:23,25;38:9;39:5,6,13, 25;40:3,8,15,18;41:18, 19,24;42:3;45:6,9;46:13; 49:10,16,19;50:1,8,13,	cyanobacteria (2) 17:23;18:11	defer (2) 45:22;57:25	descriptive (1) 8:5
	D	deferred (2) 33:10,21	deserves (1) 46:18
	Dad's (3) 53:13,20;61:11	define (1) 8:19	design (10) 19:12;28:8;31:4,16,22; 32:2;37:25;38:7,15;52:3
	daily (1) 46:21	defined (1) 28:22	designed (3) 14:22;16:5;47:10
	damage (1)	definition (1) 13:17	desirable (2) 60:23;61:19
		degrade (1) 49:24	detail (3) 13:4;23:23;28:16
		Delta (65) 4:3;6:10,24;7:2,5,6,9, 11,13,19;8:6,15;9:24; 11:5;13:17,24,25;14:3,4, 19,25;15:2,10,11,12,18,	detailed (5) 8:2;13:11;20:1,2,5
			details (1) 45:22
			detection (2) 27:20,21
			determination (29) 5:2,6;6:14,19,20;9:5; 12:9,13,15,18;14:8; 16:22;18:17;19:18,22; 20:4,10,12;23:21;28:12; 32:24;33:11;35:5,10; 65:13;67:8,19;68:7,9
			determinations (1) 31:13

determine (7) 7:22;9:24;33:1,12; 46:15;56:22;66:1	46:1	25:2;27:4;28:1;37:3,6,6, 6,19;39:8;23;41:4	equipment (1) 47:14
determines (2) 30:13;34:23	Dominick (11) 50:9,11,14,20;51:2,5, 11,17,23;56:3;57:24	either (2) 5:6;28:22	equivalent (1) 14:20
developed (4) 24:24;26:23;27:1,8	done (7) 25:3;27:21;34:22; 35:16;38:8;64:3;68:8	electorate (1) 46:2	equivalents (1) 38:14
developing (1) 37:24	door (3) 48:16;62:16;63:19	element (6) 15:7;28:19;39:22,24; 40:24;55:11	ER (5) 11:17;17:1;18:19; 19:19;20:3
development (7) 14:3,4;25:1;28:11; 32:17,20;47:22	doors (1) 5:17	elevation (2) 42:25;57:20	eradication (3) 27:15,16,17
devices (1) 5:19	doubt (1) 35:6	Elias (1) 25:12	Erin (7) 6:3;10:4,6;13:5;20:16; 40:6;53:10
difference (2) 43:1;50:17	down (9) 5:17;40:10;42:25; 43:20;44:19;52:23; 53:24;56:18;59:6	Elias's (1) 52:13	Ernest (5) 45:10,11;49:13,18,21
different (4) 12:19;44:24,24;54:16	draft (7) 12:9,12;23:21;28:4; 29:17;34:15;37:6	eliminating (1) 34:16	Ernie (1) 52:1
difficult (1) 48:9	drainage (1) 47:18	else (4) 25:19;38:11;56:18; 62:15	erosion (2) 48:1;58:24
dilapidated (1) 48:8	dredging (1) 46:20	employee (1) 57:11	essay (2) 33:3,5
dire (1) 46:3	driving (1) 60:17	encourage (1) 21:14	essentially (7) 9:7,20;25:3;28:4,4; 55:16,22
directly (3) 21:5;29:2,11	drop (1) 43:13	encroachment (1) 48:7	established (1) 7:2
director (2) 25:12;58:20	due (1) 27:5	encroachments (1) 43:20	establishes (1) 7:7
disappointed (1) 58:15	dug (1) 46:11	end (1) 45:1	establishing (1) 4:6
disciplines (1) 17:20	during (6) 20:24;22:7;23:10; 43:3;45:25;51:19	ended (1) 21:20	establishment (1) 15:4
discreet (1) 14:2	Dutch (1) 50:6	enforce (2) 31:23;54:17	evacuate (1) 5:14
discretion (1) 55:19		enforceable (6) 38:5;54:21,22,23; 55:12,22	even (14) 27:9;28:6,20;36:7; 45:20;47:3,17,23;50:6; 53:7;62:21,23;66:17; 67:5
discuss (1) 13:3	E	engineer (2) 43:16;62:16	event (1) 5:15
discussing (1) 42:19	earlier (1) 68:13	engineering (3) 24:2;57:9;63:15	Everybody (2) 66:8;67:14
discussion (7) 12:15;27:17;28:1; 30:8,15,25;65:17	early (2) 27:20,20	engineers (3) 48:6;60:13,25	everybody's (1) 58:2
dissolved (1) 52:11	earth (1) 35:7	enhances (1) 7:5	everyone (2) 22:6,11
distinguish (1) 30:9	east (2) 46:4;60:4	enough (2) 34:9;43:14	evidence (13) 8:9;9:16,19,20;10:3; 11:14;12:25;15:17; 16:19;18:16;19:17,24; 32:25
District (2) 41:15;53:4	ecosystem (4) 7:4;13:20;17:15;18:23	entered (1) 22:17	ex (3) 51:18;52:12;68:4
districts (1) 48:23	educated (1) 63:20	entire (2) 20:22;28:5	exacerbate (3) 37:5,7,21
disturb (1) 46:24	effect (2) 31:9;60:11	entirety (1) 35:19	exactly (1) 38:2
docks (1) 59:11	effective (8) 15:9;26:14;32:1; 34:13,14;61:4,23;63:10	entitled (1) 30:7	example (2) 19:12;44:5
doctrine (1) 54:13	effects (1) 19:13	entity (1) 55:8	exception (1) 31:18
document (6) 7:6;17:4;23:23;25:5, 13;39:23	effort (1) 66:22	environmental (4) 14:23;16:6;24:3;30:16	
dollars (1) 64:7	EIR (15) 14:19;15:14;16:12,16;	equal (1) 57:14	
dominated (1)		equally (3) 15:9;26:14;34:13	

exchange (2) 46:21,24	failed (6) 11:13;12:24;15:17; 16:18;17:10,23	22:14;41:17	floodplain (3) 44:7;46:7;57:20
excited (1) 58:14	fails (8) 15:21;16:1;18:1,15; 19:4,9,16,23	find (2) 12:21;22:2	flow (2) 47:10;53:8
executive (3) 6:2;25:12;68:8	failure (3) 9:12,13;18:13	finding (1) 13:9	flowing (1) 47:5
exhausted (1) 55:25	fairly (2) 9:25;28:16	findings (24) 4:19;5:2,6;6:20;8:2,6; 10:1;13:3,11;20:1,2,5; 27:9;28:4;29:12,14,17; 19,20;30:3;32:5,6;34:15; 65:7	flows (4) 13:15;15:24;19:13; 43:22
exhibit (1) 42:22	falls (1) 13:17	finds (4) 15:11;16:10;17:25; 19:3	focus (4) 26:11;38:13;61:18; 66:18
exist (3) 35:3,4;39:11	family (1) 66:21	fine (2) 51:10;58:10	focusing (1) 39:3
existing (1) 19:8	far (6) 4:6;45:21;46:14;47:8; 52:8;62:16	finish (2) 49:13,21	folks (4) 24:12,16;27:2;59:5
exits (1) 5:13	fascinating (1) 67:4	finished (1) 26:2	follow (1) 61:5
expand (1) 37:11	fast (1) 47:5	FIORINI (48) 4:1,2,13,13,16;5:25; 20:15;23:2,7,11,14;24:4; 25:17,21;35:23;36:1; 41:19,24;45:6,9;49:10, 16,19;50:8,13,16,24; 51:4,9,15;55:24;57:23; 58:5,9;59:18,22;62:5,8; 64:10,25;65:3,10,16,20; 67:16,23,23;68:1	followed (2) 21:9;45:21
expect (2) 24:16;36:24	father-in-law (1) 66:12	Fiorini's (1) 9:7	Following (7) 5:5,20;8:13;9:2;27:15; 29:21;55:20
expected (1) 30:14	favor (1) 41:15	firm (2) 34:7;63:16	foot (5) 11:3;37:5,10;62:16; 63:18
expecting (1) 26:3	fears (1) 45:23	first (12) 4:18;5:12;7:22;12:6; 13:10;21:9;22:19;42:7; 46:9;50:18,22,25	force (1) 57:15
expensive (2) 57:3;61:21	feasible (2) 27:16,17	fish (12) 15:22;16:2,13,17;19:2, 11;26:23;49:24;51:18, 22;52:5,11	forced (1) 49:4
experience (1) 43:16	feature (9) 28:9;31:16;32:3; 36:20;37:25;38:7,15,21, 21	five (4) 11:7;43:1;53:18;58:20	forgot (1) 64:12
expert (5) 9:22;36:10;64:5,5,6	February (4) 12:7,11;29:16,18	five-zero (1) 68:1	formulation (1) 32:14
experts (3) 26:24;27:12;64:5	feel (2) 23:12;35:15	fixed (3) 10:11,11;11:2	forth (1) 48:8
explain (3) 18:1,4,12	feet (9) 37:17;43:1,9,9,11; 46:23,23,23;53:18	fixing (1) 52:1	fortunate (1) 64:17
expressed (1) 24:14	FEMA (4) 44:3;45:23,24;46:2	flat (1) 44:21	forward (4) 33:19;34:4;55:5,19
extends (1) 11:1	few (2) 5:10;26:20	float (3) 37:11,12,16	found (2) 10:17;11:7
extensive (2) 13:24;34:6	Fifty (1) 46:23	floats (1) 37:9	four (5) 11:6;13:11;19:25; 20:7;56:4
extra (1) 46:20	fighting (1) 59:7	Flood (20) 5:9;10:16,19,22;14:1, 7,12;22:23;31:20;35:12; 42:23;43:3;44:3,4;46:15; 53:1,14,16;54:13;57:20	frame (1) 9:25
eye (1) 66:6	file (1) 8:15	flooding (1) 48:1	framework (1) 33:6
F	filed (2) 9:18;12:1		Francisco (1) 44:20
facilitate (1) 15:4	filing (2) 7:20;8:25		free (1) 65:22
facility (1) 41:1	fill (3) 22:2;23:3;48:3		Fremont (1) 4:24
fact (4) 28:2;32:7;40:12;53:11	filled (2) 22:11;41:25		frightening (1) 59:1
factors (1) 13:16	Final (9) 15:14;16:12;21:3; 27:4;28:1;37:6,6;39:8; 56:11		front (1) 56:22
facts (7) 9:21,21,22;14:21;16:4; 28:3;32:8	Finally (2)		full (3) 9:14;12:4;44:6
factual (6) 8:17,18;28:14;33:14, 25;37:20			fully (5) 18:21,25;19:4,10;
fail (2) 47:13,14			

43:19 fun (1) 61:15 fund (1) 7:15 funding (1) 56:16 further (4) 31:12,12;65:4;66:7 Future (6) 33:20,21;54:7;60:24; 62:1;67:1	7:11 gray (1) 10:21 Great (4) 25:21;57:11;60:9; 66:14 greater (1) 53:17 green (1) 11:3 grew (3) 60:2;63:6;66:10 group (2) 20:18;44:2 growing (1) 61:9 grows (1) 37:14 growth (1) 38:18 Guard (2) 56:12,14 guess (2) 39:10;58:16 guidance (1) 17:6 guideline (1) 31:2 guidelines (1) 29:24 Gulli (21) 50:9,11,14,20;51:2,5, 11,17,23;55:24;56:3; 57:24;59:20,21,24;62:7, 9,10,11;64:12;66:20 guys (3) 52:22,25;62:12	head (2) 39:5;58:21 hear (7) 21:9;22:11,16;40:19; 63:24;65:6;66:19 heard (3) 22:4;58:14;59:6 hearing (22) 4:19;5:1,5;8:24;9:1,2, 4;12:8,16;20:22,23,24; 22:8,10,13;24:8;25:19; 31:11;36:14;65:4,5; 68:18 hearings (2) 12:6,8 heaven (1) 35:7 heck (1) 59:14 held (1) 12:5 Hello (2) 42:13;59:21 help (4) 42:8,8;47:23;51:24 helps (1) 66:24 HENDERSON (4) 5:24;6:1;13:5;19:20 hey (3) 31:23;33:21;63:23 Hi (2) 59:24;62:10 hides (1) 48:12 high (3) 48:4;53:18;60:3 higher (1) 57:22 historic (1) 46:10 Historical (1) 54:1 history (1) 46:20 hits (1) 57:16 hold (3) 21:14;41:25;66:11 hole (1) 49:4 homeowners (4) 20:18;25:24;48:19,21 homes (1) 48:8 honesty (1) 63:21 hope (3) 10:8;66:25;67:2 horses (1) 41:25 Hotel (1) 4:24	house (1) 42:16 huge (1) 33:18 Huh (2) 51:21,21 husband (3) 62:20,22;63:11 hyacinth (31) 15:5,8,13,15;19:2,6,6; 24:20;26:12;28:7,18; 32:7,14;36:16,21;37:7,9, 11,14,21;38:18;39:9; 40:22;41:6;52:8,17;53:7; 54:18;55:17;59:7,7 hydraulic (2) 57:21,21 hydrodynamic (1) 18:5 hydrological (1) 46:25 hydrology (1) 13:15
G			I
Gate (50) 4:21;5:4,8;6:15;10:11; 11:24;14:11,12;15:6; 20:19;24:25;37:5,10; 41:2,5;42:20,25;43:2; 44:5,5;45:22,25;46:23; 47:6,7,12,20,24;48:2,4; 49:24;50:5;56:8,11; 57:17,19;58:13,14,18; 59:14,15;60:12;61:2,14; 62:13,14;63:1,5;64:13; 68:3 gates (2) 43:4;47:9 Gatto (5) 4:9,9;66:8;67:21,21 gave (1) 54:16 general (3) 5:10;28:1;53:4 generally (2) 27:4;48:19 gets (2) 36:15;57:3 girl (1) 61:11 given (1) 23:23 giving (1) 63:17 goals (2) 7:2,9 goes (3) 55:23;59:10;62:17 Good (13) 4:1,16;23:16;25:25; 26:1;31:25;37:2;46:9; 49:22;52:24;59:22,24; 66:20 governed (1) 9:16 government (2) 66:16,25 graduated (1) 43:17 graduates (1) 66:12 granted (1)	H habitat (8) 13:16;16:2,17;18:20; 19:1,5,11;49:24 half (1) 52:2 hallway (1) 5:17 hand (1) 13:2 handy-dandy (1) 30:1 happen (5) 37:10;45:15;56:6,9,20 happy (2) 20:14;35:21 hard (3) 36:4;57:4;63:18 harmful (1) 31:9 Hart (1) 21:7 hat (1) 53:5	head (2) 39:5;58:21 hear (7) 21:9;22:11,16;40:19; 63:24;65:6;66:19 heard (3) 22:4;58:14;59:6 hearing (22) 4:19;5:1,5;8:24;9:1,2, 4;12:8,16;20:22,23,24; 22:8,10,13;24:8;25:19; 31:11;36:14;65:4,5; 68:18 hearings (2) 12:6,8 heaven (1) 35:7 heck (1) 59:14 held (1) 12:5 Hello (2) 42:13;59:21 help (4) 42:8,8;47:23;51:24 helps (1) 66:24 HENDERSON (4) 5:24;6:1;13:5;19:20 hey (3) 31:23;33:21;63:23 Hi (2) 59:24;62:10 hides (1) 48:12 high (3) 48:4;53:18;60:3 higher (1) 57:22 historic (1) 46:10 Historical (1) 54:1 history (1) 46:20 hits (1) 57:16 hold (3) 21:14;41:25;66:11 hole (1) 49:4 homeowners (4) 20:18;25:24;48:19,21 homes (1) 48:8 honesty (1) 63:21 hope (3) 10:8;66:25;67:2 horses (1) 41:25 Hotel (1) 4:24	I-5 (1) 46:2 idea (6) 37:23;46:9;62:20,23; 63:24,25 ideas (1) 62:19 identified (4) 7:8;14:2;19:7;32:12 identifies (2) 7:17;19:22 identify (6) 5:12;7:19;15:14; 16:12,16;30:15 identifying (1) 22:20 ignored (1) 52:4 illegal (1) 53:25 illustration (1) 10:24 immediately (1) 5:14 impact (14) 14:23;30:16;36:12,25; 37:22,24;39:18;41:7; 43:11,22;44:6,8;47:2; 48:14 impacted (1) 43:5 impacting (1) 38:18 impacts (17) 15:13,15,22;16:2,6,13, 17;17:23;19:14;29:23; 30:5,14;35:14;36:16;

42:19;43:18;53:8 implementation (2) 7:16;32:17 implicated (1) 8:7 important (4) 13:19;20:20;66:5,19 improperly (1) 17:21 improved (4) 18:20;19:1,5;47:24 inapplicable (1) 31:15 incidental (1) 52:13 include (7) 8:16;14:18;17:8;25:2; 27:14;38:4;53:24 included (6) 19:13;29:23;30:4,10, 12;57:6 includes (3) 19:6;52:16;53:16 including (3) 27:20;36:21;41:6 inclusion (1) 38:20 inclusiveness (5) 17:8,13,18;18:9,14 inconsistency (1) 8:18 inconsistent (2) 8:14;18:9 incorporate (1) 17:19 Incorporated (3) 21:7;31:3,22 incorrect (2) 29:25;32:21 increase (1) 19:11 increased (1) 15:23 increasingly (1) 47:16 incredible (1) 23:20 incredibly (1) 31:8 independently (1) 9:23 indicated (1) 9:6 indicates (2) 27:24;33:23 Indicating (1) 36:1 indication (1) 27:10 inertia (2) 57:12,13 inform (1) 66:24	information (9) 5:21;8:5;14:1,5;17:15, 18,20;21:7;35:14 inherent (1) 7:9 inherently (1) 14:5 inside (1) 37:13 insidious (1) 47:16 inspection (2) 25:4,5 inspections (1) 27:6 instructions (1) 20:20 insurance (1) 46:3 interested (2) 12:13;21:3 interests (1) 62:1 interim (1) 21:4 interpretation (1) 31:1 intervals (1) 25:6 into (18) 11:1;22:17,19;31:3,22; 32:24;33:6,21;39:2; 43:10,10;44:18;59:3,11; 64:22,23;66:23;67:2 introduce (1) 15:4 introductions (2) 18:20;19:1 invasive (19) 11:18,18;13:15;15:3,4; 18:21;19:2,11;20:3; 26:19,21;27:14;28:18; 29:4;31:5;32:17;33:13; 34:1,21 involved (2) 34:7;36:10 Island (3) 58:12,21,24 iss (1) 55:10 issue (19) 13:13,23;18:3,11; 26:12;28:17;29:8,11; 39:13,21;41:15,16,17; 45:19;49:11;53:7;54:20; 56:15 issues (11) 10:2;13:8;17:13;24:3; 40:3;41:9,13;46:3;53:7; 57:9;60:21 item (4) 4:18;5:20,23;54:20 items (1)	53:23 itself' (1) 29:6 J January (4) 9:1;12:5;27:3;36:14 JEFF (8) 5:24;6:1;10:6;13:3,5; 14:16;19:20;20:15 Jessica (1) 68:8 Joaquin (6) 5:8;10:12;22:23; 46:22;53:1,20 job (5) 49:7;55:17;63:17; 66:4;67:11 jobs (1) 60:22 joined (1) 6:3 JUDGE (5) 4:11;65:14,19,21; 67:22 judicially (1) 40:12 jump (3) 57:21,21,22 K Katherine (1) 23:17 KATHRYN (5) 23:16;24:19,23;25:18; 40:20 Kavanaugh (4) 58:6,7,11,11 keep (4) 23:15;42:8;57:18;66:5 key (3) 13:7;38:11;41:11 kind (7) 11:22;33:24;36:15; 38:12;43:20;48:20,23 knock (1) 48:16 knowledge (1) 66:23 known (1) 57:20 knows (1) 63:20 L lack (1) 12:25 laid (1) 25:2 lake (1)	44:22 land (1) 48:17 Lands (4) 54:8,11,12;56:15 language (1) 37:6 Large (3) 58:23;60:15,21 largely (1) 45:18 larger (2) 10:22;47:9 last (10) 5:18;24:7;28:20; 45:15;50:10,12,14,18; 59:19;66:15 later (2) 32:15;38:6 launch (2) 59:3,10 law (11) 28:19,25;29:7,9;30:1; 31:7;48:11;57:12,13; 65:24;67:9 lawyer (1) 36:7 layperson (1) 24:18 lead (3) 30:11,13,22 leaning (1) 59:3 learned (1) 36:8 lease (2) 54:9;56:16 least (1) 47:8 left (3) 5:17;10:21;54:5 legal (9) 28:5,14;33:24,25;34:3, 24;36:3,6;67:4 legally (6) 32:21;33:16,16;54:20, 21,22 legible (1) 10:8 Legislature (1) 7:8 less (3) 47:20;59:14;64:17 letter (5) 29:16,18;52:5,12; 56:11 levee (9) 42:21;45:1;47:19; 48:17,23;49:3;52:3;61:3, 15 levees (8) 42:16;47:24;48:1,7,9, 22;52:1,2
--	---	---	--

<p>level (4) 10:19;37:1;42:23; 55:16</p> <p>levels (4) 42:20,21;43:11;44:24</p> <p>life (1) 63:7</p> <p>lifted (1) 68:5</p> <p>likely (2) 25:8;47:12</p> <p>limit (1) 22:7</p> <p>limitations (1) 18:5</p> <p>list (6) 20:25,25;48:21;49:2; 68:10,15</p> <p>listed (1) 8:21</p> <p>listen (1) 35:21</p> <p>listening (1) 63:21</p> <p>LITA (1) 42:12</p> <p>litigated (3) 39:4;40:4;41:13</p> <p>litigation (3) 34:6;40:8,14</p> <p>little (6) 10:7;24:18;26:3;30:1; 46:5;59:1</p> <p>live (5) 42:14;58:12,12;60:24; 61:19</p> <p>lived (1) 63:6</p> <p>Livengood (2) 6:4;14:16</p> <p>lives (1) 62:15</p> <p>living (1) 48:15</p> <p>LJ (1) 21:7</p> <p>load (2) 63:3;64:1</p> <p>loading (2) 63:4;64:1</p> <p>local (4) 7:12;26:24;45:20;55:4</p> <p>located (3) 5:16;42:16;53:17</p> <p>locations (1) 18:8</p> <p>logical (1) 32:22</p> <p>logically (2) 32:22;33:17</p> <p>long (7) 46:10;48:21;49:1; 58:14,16;59:8,8</p>	<p>long-term (1) 47:22</p> <p>look (12) 5:12;28:25;29:7;31:8; 47:3,4;48:17;50:2;54:22; 64:22,23;67:2</p> <p>looked (2) 28:20,21</p> <p>looking (3) 13:25;33:19;65:19</p> <p>Looks (4) 22:24;24:13;48:18; 49:7</p> <p>Los (1) 49:7</p> <p>lot (7) 24:16;26:2;58:1; 59:14;60:17;64:17;66:25</p> <p>Lotus (8) 29:3,10,11;38:2;39:16, 17;41:11;67:5</p> <p>Louis (2) 53:15;61:10</p> <p>lying (2) 63:8,8</p>	<p>62:18</p> <p>map (4) 51:7;53:14;56:11; 60:24</p> <p>mapping (2) 44:3,4</p> <p>March (3) 4:23;9:4;12:14</p> <p>Martina (5) 59:19,21,24;60:2;62:7</p> <p>Mary's (1) 60:3</p> <p>Massachusetts (1) 63:20</p> <p>material (1) 41:5</p> <p>materials (1) 20:24</p> <p>matter (3) 9:1;37:20;43:20</p> <p>may (9) 5:22;6:6;8:25;9:9; 22:7,16;31:19;35:22; 68:3</p> <p>maybe (5) 31:20;38:9;47:13; 48:12;67:1</p> <p>Mayor (1) 45:20</p> <p>mean (2) 33:16;34:6</p> <p>means (3) 7:18;17:14,18</p> <p>Measure (27) 15:2,10,12,12,19,25; 16:3,11,14,15;26:17,18; 27:25;28:3,8;29:5;31:17; 33:2,15;34:2;35:2;36:24; 39:15,22;41:11;52:11; 55:11</p> <p>measures (29) 11:16;14:19,20,25; 15:20;16:9,20;20:1; 26:17;28:21;29:22;30:4, 4,7,8,9,11,15,19,20,21, 24;31:2,21,24;32:13,16; 34:15;36:12</p> <p>mechanical (1) 25:6</p> <p>mechanically (1) 47:13</p> <p>meet (5) 7:7;18:1,13;43:19; 52:2</p> <p>meeting (13) 4:4,5;9:1,4;23:24;25:9, 14;42:18;45:12,17; 52:20;60:10,10</p> <p>meetings (1) 48:13</p> <p>MEMBER (66) 4:1,8,9,11,13,15,16; 20:15;21:18;23:2,7,11,</p>	<p>14:24;4,6,22;25:15,17, 21;35:23,25;38:9;39:5; 40:3,15,18;41:18,19,24; 45:6,9;49:10,16,19;50:8, 13,16,24;51:4,9,15; 55:24;57:23;58:5,9; 59:18,22;62:5,8;64:10, 25;65:3,10,14,15,16,19, 20,21;66:8;67:16,20,21, 22,23,25</p> <p>members (10) 20:18;21:14,21,24; 48:13;55:12;65:6,7,11; 66:15</p> <p>mention (1) 63:15</p> <p>mentioned (1) 68:13</p> <p>Meserve (1) 67:3</p> <p>met (1) 45:4</p> <p>methods (5) 27:15,16,19,20,21</p> <p>microphone (1) 22:19</p> <p>mid-channel (1) 18:7</p> <p>might (2) 25:9;38:25</p> <p>Mike (1) 65:20</p> <p>mile (2) 46:10;58:20</p> <p>million (1) 63:5</p> <p>millions (1) 64:7</p> <p>mind (1) 49:14</p> <p>minor (1) 43:25</p> <p>minute (3) 23:10;49:9;68:19</p> <p>minutes (8) 21:11,22;23:6,8;42:3; 51:10,21;57:7</p> <p>mischaracterized (1) 38:7</p> <p>mitigate (7) 14:24;15:22;16:2,8; 18:25;36:12;37:23</p> <p>mitigated (1) 18:22</p> <p>mitigates (1) 35:13</p> <p>mitigation (58) 11:16;14:19,25;15:2, 10,12,19,20,25;16:3,10, 15,20;20:1;26:16,17,18; 27:25;28:3,8,18,21,25; 29:1,5,8,22;30:3,7,8,15, 19,19,21,24;31:2,6,17,</p>
	<p>M</p>		
	<p>mad (1) 52:25</p> <p>Maggie (5) 6:3;16:25;17:2;19:20; 20:16</p> <p>main (2) 43:5;59:9</p> <p>maintain (1) 19:8</p> <p>maintained (1) 56:24</p> <p>maintenance (3) 24:25;25:7;41:1</p> <p>makes (1) 60:18</p> <p>making (6) 35:13;47:16;55:7; 56:4;61:1;67:7</p> <p>man (1) 63:20</p> <p>manage (1) 24:17</p> <p>management (14) 11:17;13:18,20,22; 14:13;15:3;20:6;24:20; 27:14,19;32:18;33:13; 34:21;57:2</p> <p>manager (1) 60:6</p> <p>mandamus (1) 55:14</p> <p>manner (2) 7:4;55:18</p> <p>many (4) 45:20;51:24;54:6;</p>		

21,24;32:2,9;33:2,14; 34:2,14;35:2;36:11,24; 37:25;38:3,4,6;39:11,15, 22;41:10;55:11 mitigations (1) 26:15 MMRP (1) 38:4 modeling (3) 18:4,5,8 modern (1) 50:3 modest (1) 35:19 money (2) 58:3;60:23 monitor (1) 55:2 monitoring (4) 24:11;25:5;54:17,21 month (2) 35:8;45:15 months (2) 35:8;62:22 more (23) 13:3;15:9;24:18;26:5, 14:31;20:34;14:36;8; 38:25;40:1;46:3,24; 47:21;50:3,3;53:1;60:22, 23,23;61:19,23;63:10,21 morning (9) 4:2;6:3,8;10:7;23:17; 25:25;26:1;59:23,24 morning's (1) 4:4 most (5) 29:13;36:9;45:19; 46:5;47:15 mostly (1) 47:15 mother-in-law (2) 66:10,12 motion (7) 57:13,14;62:25;63:13; 65:12,17,18 mouth (4) 10:11;37:17;46:22; 59:4 move (1) 35:7 moved (1) 63:19 moves (1) 56:8 moving (5) 39:2;44:22;55:5,19; 57:12 much (10) 25:19,22;26:5,11;44:4; 46:3;47:20;50:9;56:7; 59:19 Mullin (2) 6:3;10:6	multiplying (1) 43:9 must (4) 8:16,24;9:2;13:12 myself (1) 54:3 N name (9) 6:1;21:6;22:20;23:17; 42:5,13;50:10;51:23; 60:2 namely (1) 7:3 narrow (3) 47:5;66:18,18 nature (1) 17:5 navigation (2) 47:17;54:13 navigational (1) 46:11 necessary (1) 35:15 need (12) 14:10;26:3;28:25; 35:4;40:16;41:2;43:24; 47:15;61:18;63:5,6,9 needed (1) 43:18 needless (1) 59:4 needs (2) 43:21;45:5 neglect (1) 46:19 neighborhood (1) 45:19 new (3) 19:1;32:11;33:8 Newton's (1) 57:12 Next (11) 10:23;11:4,9,20;21:19; 25:23;45:9;50:9;53:10; 62:9;68:6 night (2) 28:21;66:15 Nobody (2) 29:9;63:20 Nodding (1) 39:5 nonexistent (2) 35:1,1 nonexistive (1) 34:1 noninvasive (1) 24:10 nonnative (4) 18:21;20:3;27:15,19 non-native (1) 11:18	normal (2) 40:25;41:6 note (1) 12:18 noted (1) 9:12 notice (2) 12:8;40:9 noticeable (1) 40:12 noticed (3) 8:21;25:10,14 notices (1) 7:24 notified (1) 52:20 November (4) 11:23;44:2;60:10,11 nowhere (2) 27:6,10 numerous (1) 52:18 O Oakland (1) 60:15 object (1) 57:13 objectives (1) 7:8 objectivity (1) 17:8 obligation (3) 14:24;16:8;55:22 obtained (2) 56:12,16 obviated (1) 32:1 obviously (3) 23:19;44:20;57:7 occur (2) 14:24;16:7 OEHLSCHLAGER (10) 23:16,17;24:19,23; 26:2;35:7;40:16,20; 54:16,24 OEHSCHLAGER (1) 25:18 off (5) 42:14;44:25;49:8; 59:25;60:7 offer (3) 8:6;23:25;61:16 officer (2) 6:2;68:8 official (2) 40:9;68:7 officially (1) 4:5 oldest (1) 46:11 Once (5)	8:23;16:3;21:20;68:8, 13 one (26) 4:4;9:13,13;12:22; 13:11;24:8;28:16;34:8, 11,11,12,18;36:7;42:16; 46:11;48:5,12;49:1;53:7, 22;58:8;59:13;61:6; 62:15;64:2,4 only (5) 32:21;37:22;41:15; 44:13;48:11 onto (3) 43:5,5;55:23 open (1) 46:23 opening (1) 9:7 openness (1) 17:9 operated (2) 14:23;16:6 operation (1) 32:18 operational (4) 15:22;16:2,13,17 operations (4) 24:24;25:7;40:25;41:7 opinion (1) 41:14 opinions (1) 9:22 opportunities (1) 64:22 opportunity (5) 21:17;22:6;23:3,25; 42:2 opposite (1) 57:14 options (2) 9:8;64:23 oral (2) 21:11,22 order (8) 4:5;12:19;13:22;22:4, 6;42:4;43:19;58:1 organization (1) 22:21 organized (1) 46:13 originates (2) 6:10,24 others (1) 65:1 otherwise (1) 32:19 out (21) 5:16;7:15;22:2,11; 23:3;24:12;25:2;37:9,13, 16;41:23,25;48:12; 54:19;55:17;59:5,9;62:3; 64:4,6;67:14 outcome (1)
---	--	--	---

12:19 outline (2) 6:9;11:22 over (11) 10:4;11:21;13:2; 14:14;34:6,7;41:12;42:8, 24:46;20;54:2 overall (3) 43:13,25;45:2 oversight (1) 34:17 own (1) 55:2 Owners (2) 11:11;26:9 oxygen (1) 52:11	26:20 PATRICK (4) 26:1,2,8;54:3 Patrick's (1) 52:6 pdf (1) 42:11 Pearson (1) 68:9 peer (1) 17:9 people (11) 48:14;49:2;54:11; 56:23;62:13,14,19;63:3, 8,23;64:17 Per (1) 53:3 percent (1) 27:5 performance (1) 19:7 performed (4) 43:15,24;44:6;45:5 period (2) 23:20;48:4 permit (2) 52:14,15 permits (1) 57:5 person (2) 8:13;42:2 persons (2) 12:13;30:12 perspective (2) 32:4;59:15 pertinent (1) 17:15 phones (1) 5:18 pick (1) 63:14 piece (2) 61:8,16 pieces (2) 14:1,5 pile (4) 11:2;43:21,22;53:16 pitch (1) 45:25 place (6) 7:5;24:17;60:24; 61:12,19;64:20 places (3) 37:3;44:24;48:25 plainly (1) 29:5 Plan (69) 7:6,19;8:7,15;9:25; 11:5;13:22,25;14:4,19; 15:2,3,10,11,12,18,24; 16:3,9,10,14,14;17:6; 24:11;26:17,21,22,22; 27:1,7,14;28:7,12,15,18,	22,22;31:3,6,24;32:7,13, 16,18,20,23,25;33:7,13, 15;34:7,8,21;35:1,3,4,6, 8,16;36:21;38:21;39:3, 10,14;41:16;52:17; 54:21;62:23;63:12 planning (1) 6:2 plans (3) 60:13,24;61:5 Plan's (3) 7:14;26:14;34:14 plant (1) 29:4 play (1) 36:7 playing (1) 61:9 Plaza (1) 4:24 Pleasanton (1) 60:4 please (11) 5:12,15,18;6:6;12:18; 21:4;22:20;50:2,15; 51:15;57:25 Plus (1) 47:23 pockets (3) 63:3,4;64:1 point (18) 21:17;23:23;27:9; 29:2;38:11;44:4;49:6; 53:13,15,17,20;56:4; 58:17,25;60:9;61:11; 65:23;67:8 points (7) 27:24;56:1,2;61:6; 66:20,21;67:4 pole (2) 43:6,25 policies (11) 7:7,14;11:5,8,8;12:23; 13:10;16:25;19:25; 34:11,12 policy (17) 6:25;8:7;9:13,14; 12:22;13:7,10;14:6,17; 17:3;18:19;20:5;26:12; 28:6,15;31:3;32:4 politicians (1) 45:20 pools (1) 64:19 Port (5) 58:19,20;60:12,14,15 portion (7) 22:8,10,13;23:10; 26:16,19;65:5 portions (1) 8:8 positions (1) 50:25	possibility (1) 41:4 possible (1) 33:12 post (3) 42:11;68:10,15 posted (1) 20:25 postponing (1) 45:16 potential (5) 18:20,25;19:10,13; 49:25 potentially (3) 13:19;16:12,16 power (1) 46:5 PowerPoint (1) 6:6 practitioners (1) 36:11 precedent (2) 31:23;33:23 precise (1) 9:25 predation (2) 15:23;19:14 predatory (1) 19:2 preliminary (1) 29:17 prepare (2) 13:21;35:6 prepared (5) 12:9,12;35:8;36:22; 42:22 preparing (1) 54:2 presence (3) 9:4;37:4,7 present (6) 4:8,10,12;6:4;16:25; 25:9 presentation (11) 6:4,8,18;13:6;21:11, 16,22;23:24;26:6;27:3; 50:23 presentations (4) 21:12,20;22:23;23:1 presented (7) 6:13;12:19;20:24; 39:7,8,24;63:11 presenting (1) 8:2 presently (2) 35:1;37:8 preservation (1) 46:18 pretend (1) 36:7 pretty (6) 26:11;31:25,25,25; 36:1;44:4
P			
P1 (25) 11:6,15,16,17;13:10, 13,22;14:6,10,15,17; 16:23;17:1,3,11;18:18; 19:25;20:1,5;26:13;28:6; 31:14;32:12;33:9;39:16 P-5 (5) 11:17;17:1;18:19; 19:19;20:3 Pacific (1) 43:17 page (3) 32:6;68:11,15 paid (1) 53:25 PANE (4) 38:25;39:6;40:6,16 Pane's (1) 41:8 parameters (1) 18:7 paramount (1) 65:25 pardon (1) 26:7 park (2) 58:25;61:10 part (12) 15:6;23:6,8;24:24; 29:5;31:6;39:9;40:25; 46:14;49:3;51:20;67:9 parte (3) 51:18;52:12;68:4 participants (1) 68:17 parties (5) 5:22;12:13;21:10,15; 68:5 parts (2) 11:6;44:17 party (3) 21:19,20;22:22 passages (1)			

price (1) 52:2	13:20,21;14:2,7;15:3; 31:10,12,19;67:2	28:5,13	58:1,21
primary (1) 47:25	proliferation (2) 17:24;18:11	purpose (2) 10:18;17:5	real (3) 34:3,11;42:24
prior (7) 7:15;22:9;23:1,24; 26:6;32:23;50:22	pronounced (1) 50:10	pursuant (1) 20:8	really (15) 23:22;26:6;28:2; 34:13;45:21;47:7;58:15; 60:18;61:18,24;64:14; 66:18,20,24;67:4
private (2) 55:6,8	proper (1) 56:25	pursue (1) 51:1	
Pro (1) 53:3	properly (1) 41:9	pursuing (1) 7:18	reason (1) 37:22
probably (1) 63:16	Property (3) 11:11;26:9;59:2	put (8) 23:21;44:5;45:25; 50:6;58:20;62:14;64:13; 66:22	reasonable (1) 9:21
problem (5) 33:18;36:17;47:15; 48:7;52:1	proponent (8) 7:21;8:2,4;9:10,18; 10:2;39:23;58:13	puts (1) 49:4	reasonably (1) 30:14
problematic (1) 54:9	proponents (1) 30:10	putting (1) 42:20	reasons (1) 20:7
problems (2) 34:4;48:5	proposal (1) 67:1	Q	recall (1) 8:25
procedures (1) 57:1	propose (1) 7:14		receipt (2) 7:24,25
proceed (6) 9:9;41:22;42:1;50:17; 67:17;68:3	proposed (24) 4:19;6:13,19,19;9:5; 10:10,24;11:1;12:15,18; 13:3;16:22;17:16;18:17; 19:18,22;20:10;30:9,11, 20,21;65:7,12;67:18	quality (4) 17:22;18:7;47:21; 52:17	receive (2) 5:1;22:4
proceeded (1) 40:8		quasi (1) 65:23	received (7) 8:11,23;11:10,23; 12:11;41:14;51:19
proceeding (1) 21:18	proposes (1) 15:5	quasi-judicial (1) 65:23	recent (1) 29:13
proceedings (1) 68:20	protect (2) 34:9,19	quick (2) 42:24;56:1	recess (1) 68:19
process (5) 6:10;24:15;40:5; 52:19,19	protection (3) 10:16,20,22	quicker (1) 26:2	recharacterizing (1) 32:2
processes (1) 17:16	protects (1) 18:23	quickly (1) 37:14	recommend (1) 6:18
profound (1) 66:24	provide (7) 5:20,21;8:5;10:19; 21:21,25;42:5	quiet (1) 35:21	recommendation (2) 39:15;40:9
program (13) 14:19;15:6,9;19:7; 24:21,25;25:8;32:15; 34:1;37:24;39:9;40:23; 57:6	provided (7) 8:1,8;12:7;18:12;23:3; 29:16;42:3	quiver (1) 34:19	recommendations (3) 6:17;56:7,10
prohibit (1) 32:14	provides (2) 17:6;35:14	quorum (2) 4:6,17	recommended (4) 13:9;14:9;65:13;67:19
Project (93) 4:21;5:4,8;6:15;7:17; 22:8;1,6;9:9,10,14,24; 10:1,5,9,10,15,19,25; 11:1,9,25;12:6;13:14,18; 14:3,6,11,12,12,13,22; 15:6,7,13;16:5,11,15; 17:5;19:6,11,12;20:6,19; 28:9,19;29:6,8,23;30:5; 10,10,20;31:4,7,17,21, 22;32:3,19;33:20;35:12, 13,18;36:20,25;37:20; 38:1,7,15,21,22;39:9,22, 22,23;40:22,25;41:10; 45:22;47:7;53:10,16; 55:1,6,7,11,20;56:13; 57:3;66:1,1;68:4	quote (1) 29:21	recommends (9) 12:21,23,24;16:22; 18:17;19:18;20:4,7,11	
	providing (3) 7:3,3;10:22	R	reconsideration (2) 5:9;9:11
	provision (1) 32:12		record (21) 4:22;8:8;9:19;10:3; 11:14;12:4,25;19:24; 22:17;27:6,24;33:1;39:7, 7,13;40:7;53:22,23,25; 54:3;56:13
	public (35) 4:18;5:1,22;12:10; 20:18;21:13,21,21,25; 22:3,8,12,25,25;23:5,9, 13;24:8,9;25:8;41:22,23; 42:1;48:10,11,13;51:11, 12;54:12;55:13,14,18; 56:22;65:5;66:16	raise (3) 42:21;43:11;44:13	recorded (1) 20:22
		raised (1) 10:2	recreation (3) 54:13;61:8,16
		ramp (3) 53:15;59:3,10	recreational (3) 47:17;49:25;53:13
		Randy (1) 4:2	recruiting (1) 60:6
		rate (1) 52:10	red (1) 10:23
		rather (3) 14:13;51:12;67:10	redacted (1) 54:5
		reached (1) 40:13	redline (2)
		read (9) 26:20;32:10;44:10; 54:19;62:21,21,23; 63:12;67:5	
		ready (2)	

29:19;32:6 redlined (1) 32:11 redlining (1) 29:20 redo (1) 56:21 reduce (3) 29:23;30:5,14 reducing (1) 46:22 reference (3) 24:11;30:2,6 referring (1) 24:20 reflect (2) 4:22;44:18 Reform (8) 6:10;25;7:11;13:24; 14:3;28:23;65:24;66:3 regard (4) 6:22;15:18;16:20,23 regarding (7) 4:19;5:3,22;6:14;9:3; 13:13;52:21 regards (1) 61:1 regular (1) 25:6 regulation (2) 31:3;36:6 Regulations (2) 13:25;28:23 regulatory (4) 7:7,14;11:5;34:16 rejected (1) 52:15 related (6) 8:6;18:18;19:19;20:2, 5:39:4 relative (1) 52:6 released (3) 12:9,14;29:14 relevance (5) 17:8,12,14;18:9,14 relevant (3) 17:5,19;26:16 reliability (1) 7:3 relies (1) 17:22 rely (1) 40:13 remand (4) 5:7;9:10,14;35:5 remarks (1) 49:14 remedies (1) 54:7 removal (5) 25:6;29:4;36:21;41:1, 6	repaired (1) 48:22 repeatedly (1) 29:4 report (7) 5:20,21;6:12;12:20; 20:10,13;48:20 reporter (3) 20:23;21:5;22:16 reporters (1) 21:4 represent (1) 22:21 represented (1) 25:24 representing (1) 20:17 request (3) 35:19;56:21;59:19 requested (1) 50:11 requesting (1) 42:2 requests (1) 65:4 require (4) 30:25;32:16;35:18; 52:7 required (10) 5:14;7:13;10:16; 13:21;15:24;16:3;29:22; 30:3,4;36:24 requirement (6) 18:19;27:1;32:23,24; 34:13;45:4 requirements (2) 28:14;33:14 requires (5) 14:17;15:2;17:3; 26:13;36:11 requiring (4) 28:11,15;36:23;46:19 residence (6) 17:22;18:3,5,6;52:7,16 resident (4) 42:14;45:10;60:8; 62:11 residents (2) 45:19;63:9 resolved (1) 41:17 respect (5) 18:3;19:25;28:17; 66:6;68:6 respond (1) 20:14 responded (1) 22:18 response (5) 29:17,18;52:13;65:2,9 responsible (3) 30:11,22;55:7 rest (2)	25:19;58:25 restoration (2) 7:4;13:21 restricting (1) 43:2 restriction (1) 68:4 resubmit (2) 52:16;56:23 result (7) 9:14;15:23,24;28:2; 37:13;59:14;65:25 resulting (1) 36:25 retrofit (1) 47:19 review (12) 6:24;9:15,15,17,23; 12:10,15;13:24;17:9,19; 31:10;32:25 reviewed (1) 57:8 reviewing (1) 39:17 reviews (1) 7:25 revision (1) 56:11 Richard (1) 44:2 ride (1) 46:10 right (29) 4:7;5:24;10:23;11:1; 21:6;22:3;24:3;25:15,18; 26:25;27:12;34:5,22; 35:9;39:17;43:18;53:8, 19:54;5:25;55:13;57:3,4, 11,18;58:7;59:3;60:17; 66:2 right-of-way (1) 54:12 Rio (1) 57:18 ripe (1) 58:1 River (7) 10:13;43:11,21;46:22; 53:20;57:16;59:3 rivers (1) 43:10 Robert (4) 58:6,7,11,11 rock (1) 49:7 Roger (2) 43:12,23 rolled (1) 24:11 room (1) 5:14 rough (3) 20:23;58:20;68:14	Running (1) 43:7 <hr/> S <hr/> Sacramento (1) 45:14 safe (1) 48:18 safety (1) 53:8 sales (1) 45:24 same (5) 31:1;48:24;62:13,14; 63:2 San (7) 5:8;10:12;22:23; 44:20;46:22;53:1,20 satisfied (1) 27:25 satisfies (2) 33:13;35:1 satisfying (1) 47:21 saw (1) 27:3 saying (1) 33:4 scenario (2) 43:3;44:12 School (1) 60:3 science (7) 11:17;17:4,7,12;20:3; 26:22;56:25 scientific (4) 17:14,18;47:7;50:3 scope (2) 9:15,17 Sean (6) 42:7,10,13,13;45:8; 57:10 seated (1) 21:6 seawalls (1) 47:9 Second (8) 5:16;12:8;22:19; 31:11;39:10;42:12; 65:15,17 secondary (1) 47:25 secondly (1) 28:10 Section (5) 9:8;20:8;30:6,7;32:11 seeing (1) 66:14 seems (2) 38:10;39:18 self-enforcing (1) 54:25
--	--	---	--

seminar (2) 44:1,8	46:2,4,9;48:17	46:5,17;48:3;57:17,19; 59:4;68:3	10,11,13;21:24;22:3; 23:1,19;25:9;27:9;30:2;
semi-trucks (1) 60:18	sidestep (1) 28:14	Society (1) 54:1	38:10;40:2,12;50:21,25; 51:3,6,18;65:13;67:11, 19
send (5) 35:9;64:4,5,5,6	significance (4) 36:13;37:1;38:23;40:1	softball (1) 61:9	staffing (1) 60:6
sense (4) 33:17;38:17;54:25; 58:2	significant (12) 14:23;15:14;16:6,12, 17:29;23:30;5,16;36:12; 38:22;41:7;47:2	sold (1) 54:11	staff's (7) 13:8;14:9;30:17; 39:15;40:9;56:7,10
sent (1) 52:14	significantly (1) 46:24	sole (1) 11:10	stage (1) 57:20
separate (3) 14:2,6;51:14	silence (1) 5:18	Soluri (10) 25:24;26:1,2,8;36:1; 37:2;41:3,21;58:3;67:3	stand (1) 11:6
served (1) 58:18	silt (1) 46:21	solution (2) 50:6;51:25	standard (1) 9:16
service (3) 20:25;68:10,15	siltation (1) 47:16	solutions (2) 61:22,25	standards (1) 19:7
set (3) 26:3;29:19;62:25	similarly (1) 16:14	somehow (1) 31:5	start (3) 10:9;55:15;59:25
sets (2) 31:23;33:18	Simmons (1) 44:2	someone (2) 55:18;56:18	starting (1) 50:22
setting (3) 34:3;35:11,18	simply (6) 30:17;32:2;33:20; 34:16;35:12;37:24	sorry (1) 26:7	State (13) 6:25;7:1,12;29:20; 46:12,16;50:25;53:4; 54:8,11,11;55:4;56:15
several (1) 37:3	simulations (1) 46:25	sort (4) 38:10,10,11;55:2	stated (2) 43:12;44:7
shall (3) 26:22;30:8,15	single (2) 53:16;63:19	sound (3) 5:13;43:18;52:25	statement (1) 29:25
shame (1) 58:4	situation (2) 38:5;66:24	speak (7) 10:8;22:7,14,19;60:7; 65:7,8	states (3) 31:2;52:6;54:24
share (1) 68:14	six (2) 17:7;62:22	speaker (6) 22:1,2,5,12;23:3;50:15	stating (1) 22:20
shared (1) 20:25	SJAFCA (49) 10:21;11:7,13,25;12:3; 13:1,7;15:5,21;16:1; 17:10,21;18:1,16,24; 19:4,10,17;20:17,21,9; 22:1;23:18;25:11,13; 27:8;30:20;35:5,8;38:16; 39:1;40:1,41:15;42:18; 43:23;45:22;46:25; 47:23;48:6,11,23;49:25; 51:24;52:15,20;55:16; 56:21;58:4;60:10;68:3	speakers (2) 22:7;50:12	status (3) 15:22;16:13;19:14
sheet (2) 11:2;53:16	SJAFCA's (4) 18:13;25:14;45:24; 52:4	speaking (2) 20:21;21:15	statute (1) 54:25
shell (1) 46:11	slide (6) 10:23,24;11:4,9,20; 12:17	special (3) 15:22;16:13;19:14	statutory (1) 6:23
Shelly (4) 62:9,10,11;64:12	slides (2) 57:6,8	species (22) 11:18;13:15;15:3,4,23; 16:13;18:21;19:2,14; 20:3;24:10;26:19,21; 27:14,15,19;28:18;31:6; 32:17;33:13;34:1,21	stay (1) 57:14
Ship (4) 10:13;53:11,12;54:10	slightly (1) 12:19	specific (4) 8:17,18;16:4;68:11	step (1) 29:15
ships (2) 60:16,21	slow (1) 59:6	specifically (3) 26:12;37:8;54:8	steps (1) 68:6
shoots (1) 56:18	slowly (1) 59:2	specify (1) 32:19	Stewards (1) 57:25
short (3) 23:20;44:14;56:16	Smith (25) 4:21;5:4,8;6:5,15; 10:12,25;11:24;14:11, 12;15:6;20:19;21:1; 42:15,17;43:4,8;45:10;	spent (3) 36:9;54:2;62:22	Stewardship (3) 4:3;46:13;50:1
shorten (1) 22:7		spots (1) 59:2	still (2) 38:8;54:6
shortly (1) 53:6		St (1) 60:3	Stockton (25) 4:25;10:14,20;45:15, 17;47:10;52:24;53:11; 54:9;60:1,3,8,12,19,23; 61:1,9,16,19;62:10,15; 63:5,6;66:9,10
show (8) 14:21;15:17;16:5,18; 18:15;19:16,23;53:14		stadium (1) 41:12	Stockton's (1) 62:1
showing (1) 47:3		staff (45) 5:19,20,21;6:4,12,16, 18;12:9,12,17,20,21,23, 24;13:8,23;15:11;16:10; 17:25;19:3,21,21;20:4,7,	stop (1) 63:2
shown (4) 10:20,23;11:3;53:13			storage (1)
shows (2) 12:17;42:22			
shuts (1) 42:25			
side (4)			

43:4 stored (1) 43:10 storm (1) 47:18 straw (1) 47:5 Street (1) 4:25 strong (2) 31:25;34:9 stronger (2) 34:12;48:2 structure (2) 10:11;11:3 studied (2) 43:19;44:9 study (7) 43:14,24;44:6,10,11, 11:45:5 stuff (1) 53:24 subdivision (1) 31:1 subject (2) 14:18;25:14 submit (2) 7:23;33:5 submitted (6) 10:2;11:11;42:4; 51:25;52:18;65:14 submitting (1) 53:6 sub-policy (1) 16:23 subsequent (1) 29:19 substantial (13) 8:9;9:16,19,20;10:3; 11:14;12:25;15:17; 16:19;18:16;19:17,24; 32:25 substantive (2) 26:25;33:14 substitute (1) 14:20 sues (2) 58:3,3 suggest (3) 27:7,10;31:5 suggestion (1) 28:10 suggests (1) 29:9 Suisun (1) 44:14 summarize (4) 6:12,16;10:5;14:14 summary (1) 12:17 supply (1) 7:3 support (11)	8:9;11:15;13:1;15:18; 16:19;18:16;19:17,24; 30:17,18;32:8 supported (2) 9:18,22 supporting (1) 28:3 supposed (1) 33:5 Sure (4) 24:19;35:13;37:2;55:7 surfaced (1) 24:9 surprised (1) 45:13 surrogate (1) 18:6 surrounding (1) 68:5 swallows (1) 31:18 swim (1) 64:18 swimming (3) 61:12;64:19,20 sworn (1) 20:21 sympathetic (1) 31:20 system (9) 43:6,14,21;44:15,21, 22;45:2,2;56:4 systems (1) 24:17	47:21;55:2 terrific (2) 66:9,21 territory (1) 39:2 thanking (1) 59:25 Thanks (2) 23:13;67:14 theme (1) 44:3 themes (1) 34:8 therefore (2) 14:25;15:16 thinking (1) 40:19 Third (1) 41:14 thorough (2) 17:19;67:11 though (2) 49:14;67:5 thought (1) 38:15 three (10) 12:22;21:22;23:6,8,10; 38:6;42:3;51:10,21;57:7 threshold (3) 36:13;37:1;38:23 thresholds (1) 39:25 thrived (1) 46:19 throughout (1) 52:18 Thursday (1) 4:23 thus (3) 9:4,16;14:4 tidal (1) 47:25 tide (5) 37:9;46:21,24;47:10; 48:4 tides (2) 44:20,23 tie (1) 36:1 timeframe (1) 32:19 timeline (1) 11:21 timeliness (1) 17:9 timely (2) 35:17;55:17 timer (1) 42:8 timing (1) 51:20 tiresome (1) 49:2	today (15) 4:18,23;11:22;20:18, 21:45;12:50;6:54;16; 60:1;61:7;62:3;66:14; 67:8,15;68:13 today's (2) 12:8,16 together (2) 23:21;48:24 took (1) 60:7 tool (1) 34:17 top (1) 10:25 total (1) 21:11 totally (1) 32:1 tough (1) 67:10 tournaments (1) 61:10 towards (2) 10:21;46:21 track (2) 23:15;42:9 traffic (2) 60:18,21 transcript (3) 20:23;54:19;68:14 transcripts (1) 21:4 transmit (1) 68:9 transparency (1) 17:9 Transportation (1) 29:3 trapped (5) 37:13,15,17;41:5; 59:13 treated (1) 40:22 treatment (1) 52:8 tried (3) 51:24;58:19;63:22 trigger (1) 27:5 troubling (1) 32:3 trucking (1) 47:13 true (1) 40:24 trust (3) 54:12;56:19;57:8 trustee (2) 30:12,22 truth (1) 63:22 Tubbs (1)
	T		
	table (1) 22:3 talk (1) 28:24 talked (3) 36:14;48:6;52:1 talking (10) 26:18;28:20;32:6; 34:20,20;35:11,12;36:5; 38:14;50:4 tarnished (1) 56:17 tasked (1) 55:1 teacher (2) 33:3,4 team (1) 24:2 technical (2) 24:1;45:21 ten (1) 68:19 tend (1) 45:22 terms (6) 24:9;25:7;28:24;46:1;		

45:20 Tuftt (5) 45:10,11;49:13,18,21 turn (6) 10:4;14:14;35:9; 48:22;50:2;57:17 Turning (1) 15:20 TV (1) 36:7 twice (1) 46:21 two (10) 9:8;12:6,8;14:1;17:13; 21:2;28:5;35:8;48:12; 68:16 type (1) 41:1 typically (2) 8:4;55:12	using (2) 18:6;51:12 utilize (1) 61:23	wasting (1) 58:2 water (51) 7:3;9:8;10:13;13:15, 17,20;14:13;15:5,8,13, 15;17:22;18:7;19:2,5,6; 20:8;24:20;26:12;28:7, 18;32:7,14;37:7,8,10,10, 14,21;39:8;40:22;42:20, 21;43:4,9,13;44:21;45:1; 47:5,18,21;48:3;52:8,17; 53:8,11,12;54:10,18; 57:12;58:18 Waterfront (1) 4:24 waters (2) 43:3;44:24 waterway (1) 46:17 waterways (1) 46:16 way (11) 6:8;18:22;19:21;34:5; 44:19;46:7;52:23;53:9; 57:10;58:21;63:10 ways (5) 62:18;63:7,7,10;64:21 web (2) 68:11,15 website (5) 8:12,22;10:17;11:20; 21:1 week (3) 29:14,18;32:11 weeks (2) 21:2;68:16 WEINBERG (12) 4:8,8;35:25;38:9;39:5; 40:3,15,18;41:18;65:15; 67:20,20 weirs (1) 47:9 welcome (2) 4:3;23:15 weren't (2) 38:18;46:7 West (2) 4:24;46:2 what's (10) 24:10,15;29:1;36:15; 56:6,9,20;57:20;61:18, 25 Whereupon (1) 68:20 whole (6) 31:18;44:14,15,16; 52:19;62:12 wide (3) 11:3;37:5,17 widen (1) 61:4 Wildlife (4) 26:24;51:18,22;52:5	win (1) 56:18 win-win (1) 59:15 wish (3) 65:1,7,8 within (13) 8:15,24;9:3;11:14; 13:16,17;27:18;37:7,21; 43:5;44:18;53:12;58:12 wonderful (1) 66:13 work (6) 23:20;48:24;60:4; 64:2,3,4 worked (1) 62:15 working (2) 10:21;48:25 works (3) 44:3,21;57:10 worry (1) 33:22 worsening (1) 36:16 worst (2) 56:9,10 worth (2) 46:7;63:21 wow (1) 47:4 writ (1) 55:14 written (2) 7:25;20:24 wrong (2) 40:7;66:2 wrongly (1) 29:10 wrote (2) 39:23;62:23
U	valuable (1) 5:15 value (1) 46:16 version (2) 29:13;47:9 versus (2) 29:3,8 via (3) 7:11;68:10,14 viable (1) 34:16 VICE (42) 4:1,2,13,16;5:25;9:6; 20:15;23:2,7,11,14;24:4; 35:23,25;41:19,24;45:6, 9;49:10,16,19;50:8,13, 16,24;51:4,9,15;55:24; 57:23;58:5;59:18,22; 62:5,8;64:10,25;65:3,10, 20;67:16,23 VICE-CHAIR (4) 25:17,21;58:9;65:16 view (3) 24:12;53:19,21 Villegas (8) 4:15,15;24:6,22;25:15; 54:20;67:25,25 Villegas's (1) 54:15 Vista (1) 57:18 visual (4) 25:3,5;27:5,6 vote (7) 46:1,1,6;66:18;67:17, 18;68:2 voted (1) 46:6 votes (1) 46:6		
ugly (3) 64:14,15,15 under (6) 7:12;9:8,9,15;24:25; 37:15 unequivocal (1) 29:9 unfamiliar (2) 24:16;45:18 unfortunately (1) 28:24 University (2) 4:24;43:17 unless (1) 49:3 UOP (1) 66:12 up (29) 25:23;26:3;28:4; 33:18;34:3;40:17;42:7; 45:9,25;48:3;49:17,22; 50:9;51:7;57:12,16,18; 58:23,23;59:10;60:2,9; 61:7,9;62:9,22;63:6; 64:13;66:10 Upon (7) 7:24;9:21;21:12; 27:11;42:16;48:19;57:14 urban (2) 47:22;52:3 urbanized (1) 10:20 use (6) 17:4;18:7;44:5;51:13; 58:7;61:12 used (5) 45:24;52:9,10;56:4; 61:10 useless (1) 47:17	W	Y	
	wake (1) 58:23 wall (4) 10:11;11:2;37:4;53:16 walls (2) 47:20;53:14 wants (1) 24:18 Warriors (1) 41:12 washes (1) 59:10	what's (10) 24:10,15;29:1;36:15; 56:6,9,20;57:20;61:18, 25 Whereupon (1) 68:20 whole (6) 31:18;44:14,15,16; 52:19;62:12 wide (3) 11:3;37:5,17 widen (1) 61:4 Wildlife (4) 26:24;51:18,22;52:5	yards (1) 58:12 year (4) 10:19,22;42:23;46:20 years (4) 38:6;48:15;51:24; 58:19 yet-to-be-developed (1) 28:7 young (1) 61:11
			Z
			zone (1) 58:20
			1

1 (2) 9:8;28:5 10 (1) 58:19 10:03 (1) 4:22 100 (4) 10:19;42:23;46:20; 58:12 11 (2) 11:8;32:6 11:31 am (1) 68:21 110 (1) 4:24 13 (1) 54:20 13th (1) 12:3 14 (1) 11:5 14th (1) 12:14 15126.4 (1) 29:24 17 (3) 11:5,8;44:2 1950's (1) 50:5 1960's (1) 50:5 1A (1) 17:6			
	3		
3.14 (1) 43:11 30 (1) 8:16 378 (1) 43:9 3rd (1) 12:1			
	4		
4.1 (1) 28:4 4.4 (2) 43:1,9 4-1 (12) 15:2,10,12,19;26:18; 27:25;32:9,16;33:2,15; 34:2;35:2 4-2 (4) 15:20,25;16:11,20 4-3 (4) 15:20;16:3,15,21			
	5		
50 (4) 11:3;37:4,10;46:23 580 (2) 60:5,17			
	6		
2			
2 (1) 9:10 2.5 (1) 46:10 20 (3) 21:11;27:5;48:15 200 (1) 10:22 2001 (1) 42:14 2009 (1) 6:24 2017 (2) 42:19;44:2 2018 (3) 11:23;12:1,3 2019 (6) 4:23;9:1;12:5,7,11,14 21st (2) 4:23;12:7 24th (1) 12:5 28th (3) 12:11;29:16,18 2nd (1) 11:23	60 (2) 8:24;9:3 600 (1) 37:17		
	8		
800 (1) 46:23 85225.25 (2) 9:8;20:9 86 (1) 43:8			
	9		
9.4 (1) 42:23 916-922-9001 (1) 21:8			