

1 **3. Proposed Project and Crediting**

2 **3.1 Restoration Design Process**

3 The restoration design process for this Project was carried out with close attention paid to the
4 Restoration Design Principles described below. The process began by determining the locations
5 on the property with the greatest suitability for tidal wetland construction. These locations
6 were initially determined by examining the topography of the site in relation to the local tidal
7 datums. The 2005 Delta LiDAR digital elevation model (DEM), updated with more recent data in
8 certain locations to improve accuracy, served as the topographic data source, while the local
9 tidal datums were calculated by cbec for this restoration effort. All areas of the site within
10 intertidal elevations (below mean higher high water [MHHW], above mean lower low water
11 [MLLW]) were prioritized for restoration.

12
13 For the Lower Yolo project effort, a technical advisory committee of scientists with expertise in
14 regional ecosystems and hydrology was formed in order to advise SFCWA on the design for the
15 Project site. The design for the Yolo Flyway Farms builds on the conclusions reached by the
16 committee and seeks to integrate the two projects together as much as possible.

17
18 According to Robin Grossinger with SFEI, both the Lower Yolo and Yolo Flyway Farms project
19 sites hold a uniquely rich location at the intersection of the historic edges of the Putah Creek
20 alluvial fan, the Yolo Basin floodplain and the north Delta tidal marshes. Optimal function in the
21 current highly altered regional landscape would preserve as much of the historic hydroperiod
22 diversity as possible by creating habitats that will flood at different time of the year depending
23 on the prevailing hydrology. The Project design will provide the maximum resiliency in the face
24 of sea level rise and regional stressors such as changes in tides, floods, salinity mixing and
25 invasive species.

26
27 The technical advisory committee has indicated that they would like to see designs that are
28 mindful of the historical ecology of the site and region and does just enough to tip a site onto a
29 new ecological trajectory. With this direction in mind, the Yolo Flyway Farms project seeks to
30 accomplish the following objectives.

- 31
- 32 • Preserve as much of the historic hydroperiod diversity as possible and incorporate the
33 ability to come back to the site to adjust Project features and change functionality
34 depending on monitoring results.
 - 35 • Provide functions and values that meet the immediate needs of the special-status fish
species targeted by the OCAP Biological Opinions.

**FINAL CREDITING PROSPECTUS, YOLO FLYWAY FARMS RESTORATION PROJECT
PROPOSED PROJECT AND CREDITING**

September 2017

- 1 • Preserve a landscape that can accommodate some sea level rise.

2 In order to accomplish these objectives, the Project design seeks to maximize residency time
3 diversity, and associated foodweb production, by capturing and slowly draining water on the
4 existing landscape. This water will come from daily tidal exchange or from seasonal inundation
5 during flood events in the Yolo Bypass. Water will be partially impounded behind existing berms
6 that are part of the irrigated pasture landscape that now exists on the Project site. Notches
7 would be excavated in certain spots to allow for water and biota to flow out into surrounding
8 tidal marsh plain and channels, and will help reduce the potential for fish stranding. In order to
9 facilitate outflow from the site, swales will be cut to drain the deepest portions of the site.
10 Depth of the swales will vary in order to vary the hydrology within the associated network and
11 test different residency time hypotheses. Details of these design elements are discussed in the
12 following section.

13
14 The tidal wetland restoration area will connect to the Toe Drain via two engineered breaches
15 excavated along the eastern property boundary. The dimensions of these engineered breaches
16 were sized according to the methods used to determine the tidal channel geometries, as
17 described above. The breaches will be sited to minimize, if possible, any areas supporting
18 existing vegetation. Opportunities to relocate/transplant existing vegetation elsewhere would
19 be afforded to the appropriate resource agencies prior to construction.

20
21 Minimal earthwork will occur in areas of the Project site that are currently at intertidal
22 elevations. Earthwork in these areas will be limited to channel creation, berm breaching, and
23 bench creation and is described in detail in Section 3.1.1.

24
25 The transitional uplands within the grazing buffer, tidal marsh enhancement areas, and riparian
26 enhancement areas generally encompass those areas directly adjacent to the restored tidal
27 marsh/tidal channels. The wetland enhancement areas were selected from areas that would
28 become isolated and inaccessible as a result of the restoration Project (and therefore could no
29 longer be effectively managed with irrigation for agriculture). The transitional uplands areas
30 would provide a suitable wetland transition zone and accommodate extreme high tides and
31 future sea level rise and act as ecological buffers from the adjacent continued agricultural
32 activities (primarily cattle grazing and associated irrigation practices).

33
34 The enhancement actions would involve the removal or reduction of current agricultural
35 management activities and, in some areas, improvements to the hydrologic regime. The
36 southwest Lower Step is currently grazed but not irrigated and its enhancement would involve

**FINAL CREDITING PROSPECTUS, YOLO FLYWAY FARMS RESTORATION PROJECT
PROPOSED PROJECT AND CREDITING**

September 2017

1 fencing it from grazing to allow natural extreme high tide and winter flooding inundation to
2 recover natural wetland plant communities. The many isolated patches would be enhanced
3 through removal of irrigation and/or cattle grazing and/or improving connectivity to tidal
4 waterways to enhance hydrology. Areas within the grazing buffer would continue to be
5 accessible for cattle grazing but would be minimally grazed post-restoration for vegetation
6 management purposes only (primarily for certain invasive weeds). A temporary electric fence
7 would be installed seasonally along the edge of the grazing buffer for livestock management.
8 Agricultural irrigation practices would cease. The complete removal of irrigation practices
9 within the enhancement areas would ensure that minimal agricultural contaminants in the
10 form of irrigation runoff would enter the restored tidal marsh habitat.

11 **3.1.1 Restoration and Enhancement Design**

12 The purpose of this section is to describe the Project restoration and enhancement design in
13 terms of the design elements, design criteria and rationale, and resulting proposed landscape
14 changes. The design involves returning tidal action to existing lands within intertidal elevations
15 and enhancing the immediately surrounding non-tidal environments with improved hydrology.

16 *Project Design Overview*

17 The Project would be implemented during the summer of 2018.

18

19 The restoration design in its entirety, presented in Figure 3-1, would include modifications of up
20 to approximately 303 acres of the 359-acre site. The 80-acre parcel where excavated soil will be
21 place is outside of the Project footprint and will remain in its current condition and support
22 agricultural operations following Project implementation.

23 **Components of the Project Footprint**

- 24 1) **Tidal Marsh Restoration:** Restoring 278 acres of intertidal and associated subtidal marsh
25 habitat, including approximately 11.5 acres of new tidal channels and swales,
- 26 2) **Riparian Enhancement:** Enhancing approximately 3 acres of existing riparian habitat.
- 27 3) **Transitional Uplands:** Enhancing approximately 56 acres of agricultural uplands.
- 28 4) **Water quality enhancement and minimizing the introduction of agricultural**
29 **contaminants:** Improving irrigation and drainage on the Project site by relocating,
30 modifying, or completely removing several water control structures and irrigation and
31 drainage ditches.